

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103493
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON NUTRITIONAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THREE MILKY MUSHROOM VARIETIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, Its important</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstracts need to be equipped with background and research methods</p> <p>Yes, it's appropriate</p> <p>This manuscript is in accordance with scientific principles</p> <p>References need to be added.</p> <p>1. References are not updated</p> <p>2. There are not many of them. There are only 7 refs.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality is good enough</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Introduction :</p> <p>a. It is necessary to explain the benefits of this research for society and science</p> <p>b. Wat is the problem, so it is necessary to do research on these 3 mushroom varieties?</p> <p>2. Methodes</p> <p>a. The experimental design has not been explained in the research method</p> <p>3. Resul and Discussion</p> <p>a. Why isn't the data tested using ANOVA?</p> <p>b. Why does C. indica contain the highest carbohydrates and protein? but the lowest fat content..</p> <p>c. It is necessary to explain why C. indica has the highest content of phenols, flavonoids, alkaloids and tannins compared to other mushrooms.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moh. Su'i
Department, University & Country	Widyagama University of Malang, Indonesia