

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_105100</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Publication Trends, Research Landscape, and Scientific Developments on Electric Vehicles Safety Research (2006–2021)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (The publication on electric vehicle safety research from 2006 to 2021 contributes by offering a panoramic view of the field's development, identifying gaps and emerging trends, and serving as a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and industry professionals.)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (Yes)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Yes</p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> yes</p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  I think so</p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  Yes</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Could the authors elaborate on the rationale behind focusing on the period from 2006 to 2021? Were there any specific reasons for choosing these years?</p> <p>2- Were there specific gaps or trends in EVS research that the authors intended to explore?</p> <p>3- There wasn't a direct explanation of how the PRISMA technique was used for data analysis and how it assisted in critically examining the research landscape.</p> <p>4- How was the search query developed to retrieve the published documents on EVS research? Were any inclusion or exclusion criteria applied?</p> <p>5- How do the study's findings contribute to the existing body of knowledge on electric vehicle safety research? What new insights or perspectives does this study offer to the field?</p> <p>6- If you've used 220 papers for your study, why does the list of references only contain 45 entries?</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b> From my point of view yes</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaban Ali
Department, University & Country	Near East University, Cyprus