

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_104823
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF VARIOUS STAGES AND LEVELS OF DETOPPING ON GROWTH, FODDER YIELD AND QUALITY OF DETOPPED RABI MAIZE (ZEA MAYS L.)
Type of the Article	research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes, the manuscript is important to the scientific community</p> <p>2- Yes, the title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>3- Yes, the summary of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4- Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6- Yes, the references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the quality of the English language of the article is appropriate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research is good and contains a modern scientific idea and contributes to solving an agricultural problem that contributes to enhancing the production of the yellow corn crop However, there are some observations that have been proven within the research, please take them into account with appreciation</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wael Fahmi Abdulrahman Alshamary
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, University of Kirkuk, Iraq