

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_104823
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF VARIOUS STAGES AND LEVELS OF DETOPPING ON GROWTH, FODDER YIELD AND QUALITY OF DETOPPED RABI MAIZE (ZEA MAYS L.)
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, The importance of a manuscript for the scientific community is subjective and depends on various factors, including the novelty of the research, its impact on the field, and the quality of the study.</p> <p>2- Yes, The title is suitable for manuscript</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the field experiment conducted to study the effect of various stages and levels of detopping on growth parameters, fodder yield, and quality parameters of detopped rabi maize.</p> <p>4- Yes, Overall, the structure appears to follow a logical progression and covers the necessary aspects of a research study.</p> <p>5- Yes</p> <p>6- Insufficient References and Missing Citations in the Manuscript, this references not found in the manuscript (Barimavandi et al., (2010); Begum et al., (2017); Bennett et al., (1965); Mohan et al. (2015).</p> <p><b>Notes: Please review the formats for the journal</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article is original, innovative and contributes to science. However, the words need to be corrected and the spaces between them should be left. The English language of the manuscript should be revised. The conclusion part can be rewritten again to give important information.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>No</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tahany Noreldin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agriculture Research Centre, Egypt</b>