

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_105652
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence of Leaf Blight Diseases of Tea (<i>Camellia sinensis</i>) In Hobigonj and Moulvibazar District: <i>Botryodiplodia theobormae</i> an Important Obstacle
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes but need to be more correct about the disease causing pathogen. There should be Koch's postulation for detection of the pathogen of the field mentioned disease symptoms (Leaf Blight Diseases). Name of the pathogen should be written as valid name with accession number. Author used "blister blight lesions" in materials and method section. It is a separate disease caused by <i>Exobasidium vexans</i>. So, need to rewrite precisely about the symptom and pathogen isolation step. Photo quality is not prominent. Statistical analysis is not reflected in the tables and graphs as well as in results.</p> <p>2. May be changed after Koch's postulation and proper identification of the disease causing pathogen with accession number. Author may follow molecular technique for identification of pathogen with accession number.</p> <p>3. Yes but need to rewrite precisely with good English sentence.</p> <p>4. Need more details explanation with more subsections.</p> <p>5. Due to incomplete identification of the disease causing pathogen without Koch's postulation and accession number, I think the manuscript is scientifically incorrect?</p> <p>6. References in the text and reference section are not arranged properly, need to rearrange properly with a specific style.</p> <p>More suggestion: Author(s) may complete the work and resubmit it again. Thanks</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to rewrite precisely with good English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>N.A.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parimal Mandal
Department, University & Country	Raiganj University, India