

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_105559
Title of the Manuscript:	Selective Breeding Under a Hierarchical Mating using OSBORNE Index Web APP
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript explores using a web application to enhance selective poultry breeding using the Osborne index. Given the poultry sector's expansion, efficient breeding tools are vital for breeders and agriculture. This paper holds significance for professionals in poultry research and technology.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. It gives a general overview but could mention key outcomes of using the web app.</p> <p>4. The structure and subsections of the manuscript are appropriate for a method article.</p> <p>5. It appears scientifically valid.</p> <p>6. Yes, they are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Add recent references of last 5 years Check typos and spelling</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>You've highlighted the significant growth of poultry in India several times. It might be more effective to consolidate this information.</p> <p>Could you elaborate on the rationale behind selecting Excel as the data access layer, particularly in the context of a web application?</p> <p>Addressing potential limitations or challenges associated with the web app would bolster the paper's credibility.</p> <p>I noticed a few grammatical mistakes. A comprehensive proofreading would be beneficial.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Berk Bekiroglu
Department, University & Country	Illinois Institute of Technology, United States