

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_106444
Title of the Manuscript:	Learning Motivation Scale (LMS): Development and Validation among the Prospective-Teachers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is a useful addition to the literature on the subject.</p> <p>It would read better if modified to "Learning Motivation Scale (LMS): Development and Validation with Prospective Teachers in West Bengal, India".</p> <p>The Abstract contains all the required information, but the language needs improvement. For example, the term "Learning Motivation" has been repeated five times in the first para.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. I am suggesting an additional reference, which would help the author to improve the paper on the lines suggested by me.</p> <p>Muhammad Sajid, Rizwan Akram Rana, Syed Nadeem Tahir (2018) Development of Teacher Motivation Scale at Secondary Level, Journal of Research and Reflections in Education, December 2018, Vol.12, No 2, pp 286-295 Accessed at: http://www.ue.edu.pk/jrre</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The paper needs to be professionally edited before acceptance.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The Author has collected data from a sufficiently large sample of trainee teachers, has carried out a pre-survey to validate the questionnaire, and has used appropriate statistical methods to analyse the results. The only shortcoming is that no explanation of the "Items" selected for the analysis or those rejected has been given. The reader would like to understand whether the items relate to personal satisfaction, job satisfaction or some other form of motivation. Some explanation is need in the text and also a list of items in the Appendix.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nira Ramachandran
Department, University & Country	Institute of Economic Growth, Delhi University, India