

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJESS_105742                                 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | From EFL to ESL in the Eye of Saudi Vision 2030 |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                       |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>The manuscript seems to be of significance to the scientific community to better understand the perspective of Saudi on EFL and ESL.</p> <p>Yes the title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Minor revision in the sub sections title is required, for example result should not come before the data analysis</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct but the number of sample is only six , which may be justified in the sample</p> <p>Yes the references are sufficient and updated</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Language editing would be required for enhancing the ease of reading</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                    |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Bharti</b>      |
| Department, University & Country | <b>CIET, India</b> |