

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_105568
Title of the Manuscript:	Antecedents of the Adoption of Cryptocurrency Investment: The role of behavioural bias in an emerging market
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript has the scope to leave immediate implications in the South Asian economy.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript has come out well. However, the present economic condition of Sri Lanka can be vividly described in the manuscript. The author shall also stress the implications of the present economic conditions over Cryptocurrencies. Also there can be a detailed deliberation on the choice of NPS design.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More references shall be added by the author.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, few places in the manuscript have spelling mistakes. The same shall be corrected.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In Path Analysis the Heuristic Driven bias to Cryptocurrency adoption the Significance is (.000), but it looks like there is a variation in Coefficient (-0.479) whereas, the F square is (0.552) its 50%. The author shall recheck the same.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Prasath S
Department, University & Country	CHRIST Deemed to be University, India