

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_105568
Title of the Manuscript:	Antecedents of the Adoption of Cryptocurrency Investment: The role of behavioural bias in an emerging market
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript deals with an interesting issue and contributes to the knowledge about behavioral finance. The author conducted the study in a relatively consistent and concise manner.</p> <p>2. The title is not captivating I suggest "The role of behavioral biasness in Cryptocurrency Investment".</p> <p>3. Abstract needs to long</p> <p>4. Structure of manuscript is good.</p> <p>5. Yes, to some extent</p> <p>6. More recent studies of 2022 and 2023 be included in paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Needs to check the grammatical mistakes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. English should be improved; proofreading of the text is strongly suggested.</p> <p>2. The Abstract should be more informative and precise.</p> <p>3. In Conclusion, the policy implications and the benefits of the study for all stakeholders should be stated in a more explicit way.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faisal Bukhari
Department, University & Country	Pakistan