

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_96534
Title of the Manuscript:	A Little Girl's Battle with Hutchinson-Gilford Progeria Syndrome
Type of the Article	Case report

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The journal in which the article is reviewed is a medical one, but I will carry out the review in the specialization I have, Special Education expert.</p> <p>Of course, medical research is fundamental in disability, but it must aim at significant progress in understanding and treating the somatic aspects involved in disability. Unfortunately, the current study does not bring any new knowledge, everything it proposes to study has been studied, and scientifically communicated.</p> <p>The title of the article is not suggestive for the research (aiming at the psycho-pedagogical aspects, the presented data refer to medical facts), not very scientific, more editorialist.</p> <p>The abstract is well structured, only the research gap is missing, through which the authors should support the usefulness of the research (and what novelty it brings.</p> <p>The introduction includes a brief presentation of the problem of Hutchinson-Gilford Progeria Syndrome, but more at a level of popularization of science rather than a scientific approach. In the case presentation I recommend adding photos of the most interesting characteristics. It would be interesting to describe in more detail how she reacted and progress through the clinical trial for a new treatment that has the potential to stop or even reverse the progression of HGPS.</p> <p>In the discussion section I recommend adding references to previous studies on this topic and their results.</p> <p>The conclusion is very brief, and has nothing to do with the medical data presented. There are no implications of the medical research and recommendations for medical practice. Please add.</p> <p>The bibliographic references are very few and none of them newer than 2014. Recent bibliographic references, from the last 5 years, should be added.</p> <p>Please revise the English language, there are several errors.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anca Luștrea</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>West University of Timisoara, Romania</b>