

# Characterization of recycled aggregates of concrete and bricks in the central region of Burkina Faso.

## *Abstract*

Aggregates used in the construction of civil engineering works have an impact on the properties of concrete. For several years the central region is famous because of the effects of extraction of natural aggregates on the environment. We conducted laboratory tests on several recycled aggregates of concrete and bricks to determine their mechanical properties. This choice was guided by a concern to identify and analyze the characteristics of natural and recycled aggregates of concretes that impact the properties of concrete on the one hand and to show the importance of increased use of recycled aggregates in concrete production to better preserve the environment and move towards sustainable development. moreover. To better understand the qualities of these aggregates, it is necessary for us to carry out experimental tests in the laboratory.

The objective also guided the choice of the different types of recycled aggregates selected for the measurement of mechanical properties in the central region: Crushed Natural Gravel, Rolled Natural Gravel, Crushed Recycled Gravel, Recycled Spinning Gravel, Alluvionary Natural Sands, Recycled Brick Sands.

The results show that recycled aggregates of concrete and bricks in the central region can be used for high-quality concretes on the one hand and reduce the impact of artisanal extraction of non-recycled aggregates on the environment on the other hand.

In short, we retain that the characteristics of recycled aggregates are a function of the rate of mortar gangues glued to the mother aggregates.

*Keywords: recycled aggregates – strength – concrete – Non-recycled aggregate*

## **1- Introduction**

Aggregates are a fundamental constituent in the art of construction of buildings and public works. The consumption of aggregates is particularly important in major road, building, and public infrastructure, transport, as well as in the performance of maintenance work. For example, aggregates must be considered at their fair value in economic planning and spatial planning.

This need continues to grow in all African countries and in particular in Burkina Faso. Most aggregates used in concrete come from massive rocks (crushed aggregates) or soft rock deposits (natural aggregates). Although these aggregates offer the advantage of consistent quality and continuous supply, it should be noted that these products are derived from non-renewable resources and are depleted over the years. However, each year, more than 100 million tons of Construction and Demolition Waste (DCD) are produced by the activities of the Building and Public Works according to IRRIM in its book "*Classification and assistance in the choice of recycled granular materials*" in 2011. It is therefore essential to develop new approaches to building materials to limit environmental impacts and take into account the life cycle of the materials used. That's why our study looks at the reuse or recycling of these materials to reduce the occupancy of valuable space in landfills while reducing environmental pollution.

This study aims to develop the use of recycled aggregate concretes to replace increasingly rare natural materials. This requires a consistent study of the characterization of the recycled materials used, to optimize their impact on the properties of the concrete in the fresh and cured state developed. To do this, we carried out a comparative study of the properties of natural control aggregates and those of recycled aggregates.

## **2. Materials and method**

### **2.1. Aggregates sampling**

#### **2.1.1. Choice of sampling points**

For reasons of complexity, it is difficult to make a judicious choice of sampling points. However, the recognition of public landfills and aggregates extraction quarries in the central region has made it possible to opt for six sampling points grouped into two major important groups: non-recycled aggregates taken from quarries on the one hand and recycled aggregates taken from public landfills on the other.

#### **2.1.2. Sampling**

We have a variety of aggregates depending on its origin. During wintering, it was difficult to practice a satisfactory sampling technique. Sampling was used in the dry season with the advantage of having more or less dry aggregates since in wintering the aggregates are saturated with water. These aggregates are taken according to Table 1 below.

**Table 1: Aggregates collected in the Central Region**

<b>Sampling points</b>	<b>Types of Aggregates</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Abbreviations</b>
<b>Natural aggregates</b>			
<b>1</b>	Crushed natural gravel	5/25	CNG
<b>2</b>	Natural Rolled Gravel	5/25	RNG
<b>3</b>	Alluvionary natural sands	0/4	SNA
<b>Recycled aggregates</b>			
<b>4</b>	Recycled Gravel Back from Toupie	5/25	GRRT
<b>5</b>	Crushed recycled gravel	5/25	RCMP
<b>6</b>	Recycled Brick Sands	0/4	SRB

**2.2. Laboratory work**

These are the identification tests. Identifying an aggregate means determining a set of physical, mechanical or chemical properties that make it possible to characterize it.

These properties are determined by simple and quick tests called identification tests.

**2.2.1. Particle size analysis**

**2.2.1.1. Test portion**

This involved going to the site where the aggregates were stored and using wheelbarrows and shovels to remove a sufficient amount of gravel and sand for testing.

To comply with the granular classes prescribed in the specifications, we have:

- ✓ For the Alluvionary Natural Sands (SNA), carried out a sieve with a 25 mm sieve to collect passers-by who were then passed through a 5 mm sieve to collect refusals.
- ✓ For Recycled Brick Sands (SRB), perform a sieve with a 5 mm sieve to collect passers-by.

**2.2.1.2. Washing**

Materials exposed to the open air are full of leaf debris, clay and impurities that can interfere with test results. To remedy this, we proceeded to wash the materials (sand, gravel) until complete removal of impurities.

**2.2.1.3. Drying**

Once washing is complete, we move on to drying the aggregates. This operation allows us to prepare them for particle size analysis and concrete implementation. It took place in two phases, a first in the sun and the second using the gas stove.

**2.2.1.4. Sieving**

The particle size method used is sieving. Sieving makes it possible to know the different diameters of the grains of sand or gravel. This method consists of deducing the sizes of the aggregates by trying to pass them (admission or refusal) into smaller and smaller orifices. These holes are square meshes.

**2.2.1.5. Materials**

<b>Table 2: Equipment used for particle size analysis</b>		
<b>Designations</b>	<b>Utilities</b>	<b>Numbers</b>
A scale	Weighed with ± 1g accuracy	01
A sieve	Sieving	- 08 Recycled Brick Sands (BRT) - 08 Alluvionary Natural Sands (SNA)
A bucket	Levy	02
A brush	Cleaning sieve meshes	01
A shovel	Levy	01



**Figure 1: Series of sieves for particle size analysis. Source: University of Technology and Management laboratory.**

### **2.2.2. Los Angeles Test and Micro Deval**

The mechanical properties of resistance to fragmentation and wear were determined by performing Los Angeles and Micro Deval tests according to NF EN 1097-2[34] and NFEN 1097-1 [34] on 10/14 mm fractions of aggregates.



**Figure 2: Sample of aggregates for testing**

#### **2.2.2.1. Test Los Angeles**

The Los Angeles test evaluates the impact resistance of an aggregate by introducing into a steel cylinder, a load of steel balls that have the function of fragmenting the material. The ball load is adapted to the granularity of the material.

Depending on the granular sample class (mm) the number of pellets varies.



**Figure 3 : The Los Angeles Test Aircraft**

The table below summarizes the number of balls according to the granular class:

**Table 3: Summary table of Los Angeles test conditions**

Granular sample class (mm)	4/6.3	6.3/10	10/14	14/16
Number of cannonballs	7	9	11	11
Number of rotations (30 to 33 rpm)	500	500	500	500
Amount of material (g)	500	500	500	500

The percentage of elements less than 1.6 mm shall be calculated by relating the mass (M 1) passing through a 1.6 mm sieve to the starting mass (M 0). This value is the abrasion coefficient and the expression of L.A is then:

$$LA = \frac{M_1}{M_0} \times 100$$

Where:

L.A: the value of los-engeles in percentage

M<sub>0</sub> : the mass of the starting sample in grams (g)

M 1: the mass passing through a sieve of 1.6 mm

LA (%)	Assessments
LA<15	Very good
15 <LA<25	Medium

25 <LA<40	Weak
LA>40	Very poor

### 2.2.2.2. Micro-Deval wear test

The test consists in evaluating the resistance to wear by friction of grains (diameter between 4 and 50 mm) of a dry aggregate or in the presence of water, by placing a mass sample (M) in steel cylinders (maximum 4) with a volume of 4.5 liters, mounted on 2 horizontal shafts with balls.

The cylinders are rotated 12,000 revolutions in 2 hours



**Figure 4: Micro Deval Test Match**

The bead load varies according to the granular class of the sample (mm) according to the table below.

**Table 4: Summary table of Micro Deval test conditions**

Granular sample class (mm)	4/6.3	6.3/10	10/14
<b>Ball loads (kg)</b>	2	4	5
<b>Quantity of water in l</b>	2,5	2,5	2,5
<b>Amount of material (g)</b>	500	500	500

The mass (m) of elements less than 1.6 mm is measured and the micro-Deval coefficient is calculated dry (MDS) in the presence of water (MDE)

$$MDE = MDS = 100 * \frac{m}{M}$$

Where:

MDS : the micro-Deval coefficient dry in percentage

EDM: the micro-Deval coefficient in the presence of water as a percentage

M : the mass of the starting sample in grams (g)

m : the mass of passing to a sieve of 1.6 mm

MDE (%)	Assessments
MDE<10	Very good
10 <MDE<20	Medium
20 <MDE<35	Faible
LA>35	Very poor

### 2.2.3. Water absorption test

Absorption is the power of a material to absorb and retain water.

The absorption coefficient is the ratio of the increase in the mass of the aggregate after immersion for 24 hours at 22°C to the dry mass of the sample in accordance with standard NF P 18-555 [AFNOR standards].

The absorption coefficient A as a percentage is determined according to:

$$A = \frac{M_2 - M_1}{M_1} \times 100$$

Where:

$M_2$ : mass of the water-saturated material sample

$M_1$ : dry mass of the material sample

### 2.2.4. Compactness and porosity of aggregates

Compactness is defined by the ratio of the volume of solid matter to the total volume.

While porosity (n) is by definition the complement to the unity of compactness.

The test is carried out according to NFP18-554.

### 2.2.5. Densities

The apparent and specific densities of the various natural or recycled aggregates studied are made according to standard NF P 18-554 and 555.

#### 2.2.5.1. Apparent density

Apparent density is the mass of a body per unit total volume including voids and major constituents. The apparent density noted  $\rho$

$$\rho = \frac{M}{V}$$

Where:

$\rho$  = density in kg/m<sup>3</sup>

$M$  = body mass in kg

$V$  = total body volume including voids and constituents in m<sup>3</sup>

### 2.2.5.2. Specific density

The specific density of a body is the mass per unit volume of solid matter of this body without any void between the grains (constituents): absolute volume

$$\rho_s = \frac{M_s}{V_s}$$

Where:

$\rho_s$  : specific density in kg/m<sup>3</sup>

$M_s$  : the specific mass of the body in kg

$V_s$  : volume of solid matter of this body without any void between the grains (constituents) in m<sup>3</sup>

## 3. Results and discussions

### 3.1. Particle size analyses

#### 3.1.1. Sands

##### 3.1.1.1. Table of sieving results

###### a- Natural alluvial sands (SNA)

mm sieve	Partial refusals (g)	Cumulative refusals (g)	Cumulative refusals (%)	Cumulative passers-by (%)
5	0	0	0	100
2,5	115	115	11.73	88.26
1,25	191	306	31.22	68.77
0,63	168	474	48.36	51.63
0,315	231	705	71.93	28.06
0,16	176	881	89.89	10.10


<b>0,080</b>	75	956	97.55	2.46
<b>Bottom</b>	19	975	99.48	0.51
<b>5</b>	0	0	0	100

**b- Recycled Brick Sands (BRT)**

The results of sieving are recorded in the table below:

<b>Table 6: Results of sieving of Recycled Brick Sand (BRS)</b>				
<b>mm sieve</b>	<b>Partial refusals (g)</b>	<b>Cumulative refusals (g)</b>	<b>Cumulative refusals (%)</b>	<b>Cumulative passers-by (%)</b>
<b>5</b>	0	0	0	100
<b>2,5</b>	149	149	15.20	84.79
<b>1,25</b>	333	482	49.18	50.81
<b>0,63</b>	175	657	67.04	32.95
<b>0,315</b>	106	763	77.85	22.14
<b>0,16</b>	125	888	90.61	9.38
<b>0,080</b>	68	956	97.55	2.44
<b>Bottom</b>	22	978	99.79	0.20
<b>5</b>	0	0	0	100

**3.1.1.2. Particle size curves for Recycled Brick Sands (BRS) and Alluvionary Natural Sands (SNA)**



**Figure 5 Particle size curves of the sands of Recycled Brick Sands (BRS) and Alluvionary Natural Sands (SNA)**

The analysis of the particle size curve of the Alluvionary Natural Sand (ANS) (Figure 5) shows that the sand taken for concrete belongs to class 0/4.  $D = 4 \text{ mm} < 6.3 \text{ mm}$  in accordance with standard NF EN 12620 "Aggregates for concrete" and standard XP P 18-545 (Article 10: "aggregates for hydraulic concrete"). (BAROGHEL – BOUNYV; 2004)

### 3.1.1.3. The Fineness Module $M_f$

The fineness modulus of a sand characterizes its granularity as  $1/100^{\text{th}}$  of the sum of the cumulative refusals in percentages, on a series of sieves. This is an important feature that needs to be checked carefully. Generally a concrete sand must have a modulus of fineness between 2.2 and 2.8.

- ✓ 1.8 2.2: the sand is mostly fine-grained,  $\leq M_f <$
- ✓ 2.22.8: there is preferential sand,  $\leq M_f <$
- ✓ 2.83.2: The sand is a bit coarse. It will give resistant concretes but less maneuverable.  $\leq M_f <$
- ✓  $M_f \geq 3.2$ : the sand is too coarse. Not recommended for concrete.

$$MF = \frac{1}{100} \sum \text{Refus cumulés en \% des tamis } \{0.16 - 0.315 - 0.63 - 1.25 - 2.50 - 5\}$$

**Table 7: Modulus of fineness of sands**


Fineness module	SRB	SNA
	2,99	2,53

We particularly observe at the level of the sandy fraction where recycled sands are coarser than natural sands.

The values obtained by analysis of recycled brick sand (SRB) are therefore higher than those obtained by sieving natural alluvial sand (SNA).

This may be explained by the fact that the appropriate mechanical means were not used for the grinding of the bricks in question.

### 3.1.2. Gravel



**Figure 6 Gravel particle size curves**

There are no considerable differences between the particle size curves of natural aggregates and those of recycled aggregates.

The particle size and maximum size of the aggregates impact on the relative proportions of the aggregates, the quantities of binder and water required, the maneuverability, pumpability, porosity, shrinkage and durability of the concrete.

### 3.2 Mechanical properties

Natural aggregates are on average more resistant than recycled aggregates than recycled aggregates but still have acceptable wear and fragmentation characteristics as aggregates

for hydraulic concretes in view of the considerations of the standardization in force in this area.

### 3.2.1. Test Los Angeles

The results are given in Table 8.

**Table 8 Wear and fragmentation resistance**

Types	Natural aggregates			Recycled aggregates		
	SNA	CNG	RNG	SRB	RCMP	GRRT
Sizes (mm)	0/4	5/15	5/15	0/4	5/15	5/15
LA (%)	-----	21	31,3	-----	36,6	32,43

LA (%)	Assessments
LA<15	Very good
15 <LA<25	Medium
25 <LA<40	Weak
LA>40	Very poor

The fragmentation resistance (LA) of recycled aggregates remains within the normative thresholds (>15%). However, although the thresholds are respected, the granular skeleton of recycled aggregates may evolve. A situation that needs to be taken into account in the formulation of concrete.

### 3.2.2. Micro-Deval test

**Table 9: Micro Deval wear resistance (MDE).**

Types	Natural aggregates			Recycled aggregates		
	SNA	CNG	RNG	SRB	RCMP	GRRT
Sizes (mm)	0/4	5/15	5/15	0/4	5/15	5/15
MDE (%)	-----	1 2.10	18.34	-----	25.2	2 2.15

<b>MDE (%)</b>	<b>Assessments</b>
MDE<10	Very good
10 <MDE<20	Medium
20 <MDE<35	Faible
LA>35	Very poor

The wear resistance of recycled aggregates is relatively higher than that of natural aggregates, depending on the type of aggregates.


- ✓ For natural aggregates: Crushed natural gravel (CNG) is stronger than rolled gravel (RNG). This can be explained by the fact that the original concrete comes from demolition and therefore contaminates with waste of all kinds. It is an old concrete that has therefore undergone climatic hazards over time (wind, rain, sun, climate change ...).
- ✓ For recycled aggregates : Those from crushed concrete (GRC) are more resistant than gravel from the return of top trucks. This is due to the fact that this concrete is less contaminated and generally younger.

Overall, natural aggregates are more resilient in terms of wear than recycled aggregates.

### 3.3. Compactness and porosity of aggregates

**Table 10: Compactness and porosity of aggregates**

	<b>SNA</b>	<b>SRB</b>	<b>CNG</b>	<b>RNG</b>	<b>RCMP</b>	<b>GRRT</b>
<b>Compactness</b>	1,25	2,5	0,65	8,34	11,5	9,23
<b>Porosity</b>	2,25	3,5	0,35	9,34	12,5	10,23




**Figure 7 Trends curves of Aggregates compactness and porosity**

The cement paste attached to natural aggregates in GBRs is much more porous than natural aggregates. GBCs are known to be more porous than natural aggregates, mainly due to the high presence of old adherent cement paste. Therefore, the quality and quantity of this phase are responsible for the properties of GBR compared to those of natural aggregates. In the porosity of the adherent paste two types of pores are distinguished: capillary pores and hydrate pores.

### 3.4. Absorption coefficient

**Table 11: Water absorption rate of aggregates**

	CNG	RNG	RCMP	GRRT	SNA	SRB
<b>Abs %</b>	1,29	1,23	4,45	5,1	9,85	14,15



**Figure 8 Trend curves of water absorption rate of aggregates**

Regardless of the particle size considered, natural and recycled aggregates generally show different behaviors. Whatever the particle size considered, the water absorption of natural aggregates is significantly lower than that of recycled aggregates: around 10% for natural sands (SNA), 2% maximum for natural gravel (CNG).

Recycled brick sands (BRS) absorb around 15% and about 5% for recycled gravel (GRC, GRRT).

Water absorption is constant for natural aggregates and significantly impacted by agitation. For recycled aggregates, there is an increase in the water absorbed when the aggregates are agitated and this is due to their morphology resulting in air retention due to agitation. The presence of mortar and cement paste increases the porosity of recycled aggregates, resulting in a higher water absorption of these aggregates compared to natural aggregates.

We also notice, nearly 80% absorption is done during the first 10 minutes

This phenomenon of desorption-reabsorption requires further study to determine its impact on the workability of maintaining workability.


### **3.5. Densities**

Recycled aggregates have a lower density than natural aggregates. This property is linked to the presence of the old mortar which is a very porous medium and therefore less dense than the original aggregate. Table 7 presents a comparative summary of the density results between natural and recycled aggregates according to the different particle sizes. Hansen shows that, in general, recycled aggregates

In addition, the density also decreases with substitution rates and this trend is true in both fresh and hardened states. This phenomenon is related to the low density of RGs compared to that of NGs (Table 12). The replacement of dense aggregates (NG) by less dense aggregates (GR) therefore reduces the density of the resulting concretes.

**Table 12: Densities**

	<b>CNG</b>	<b>RNG</b>	<b>RCMP</b>	<b>GRRT</b>	<b>SNA</b>	<b>SRB</b>
<b>Apparent density (Kg/m3)</b>	1675	1380	1279	1345	1710	1455
<b>Specific density (Kg/m3)</b>	2780	2570	2620	2760	2848	1987



**Figure 9 Trend density curves**

**4- CONCLUSION**

This study opened perspectives on the need to deepen knowledge of the qualities of recycled aggregates. Water demand, the standardized definition of efficient water and the classification of elements need to be studied specifically.

A reflection will have to be carried out on the assessment of the cleanliness of recycled aggregates, depending on the quantification and qualification of these fine elements. The evolution of current standards will need to take sustainable development issues into account.

The results obtained by this study should allow an in-depth analysis on the adaptation of formulation methods in order to obtain characteristic recycled aggregate concretes closer to those of natural aggregate concretes. We will then have to focus on the concrete of recycled aggregates formulated with admixtures, particularly in terms of implementation. The addition of superplasticizers will allow the removal of fine elements in order to retain these fines that can have a beneficial effect on mechanical properties.

## 5. References

[1]1.Kunwufine DEODONNE (10 July 2015)

*Studies of the physico-chemical characteristics of recycled aggregate concretes and their environmental impact*

[2] NGUYEN Vu Nam (May 4, 2016)

*Recovery of fines and aggregates from recycled concrete as cementitious materials*

[3] Sandrine Braymand, Sébastien Roux, Déodonne Kuwufine, Cristian Constantin Mihalcea, Françoise Feugeas, Christophe Fond (21 Apr 2015).

*Recycled concrete aggregates: a material with high recovery potential in concrete*

[4] J.M. Mechling, Eric Garcia-Diaz, R. Lavaud, Romain Trauchessec, Bogdan Cazacliu (2 Sep 2020)

*Characterization of recycled concrete aggregates S. Rémond,*

[5].BOURMATTE Nadjoua (12 March 2017) *Alternative recycled aggregates for hydraulic concrete*

[6] KoukaAmed Jérémy Ouédraogo (MAY 2020), *Stabilization of sustainable and ecological building materials based on raw earth by organic and/or mineral binders with low environmental impacts*

[7] Toufik BOUBEKEUR, (May 2017) *Factors influencing the deterioration of concrete structures WEAPON IN THE BISKRA REGION*

[8].Guilhem Mollon (2015) *Mechanics of granular materials*

[09].DRISS SAMRI (2008), *Physical analysis and hygrothermal characterization of building materials: experimental approach and numerical modelling*

[10].G. e. F. Dreux (2007), *Nouveau guide du béton et de ses constituents.*, EYROLLES

[11].KATTAB. R, (2007) *valorization of dune sand. PhD thesis, Algiers: ENP,*

12.Urs Wyss (January 2006), Eng. Civ. Dipl, *Inventory of constructions made of suitable materials. Miscellaneous documents; EPFL, Ouagadougou, p31.*

[12]. S. HAOUARA1, A. GUETTALA (June 2005) *Factors influencing the deterioration of reinforced concrete structures in the region DE BISKRA June 2005*

[13]..Urs Wyss (December 2005), *Construction using "local materials" State of a sector with multiple potential*

[14].M. NEVILLE (2000), *Properties of concrete, Eyrolles*

16.COULIBALY Sidiky Mohamed, *adobeton and valorization of local materials ;*

[15].Amadou TRA ORE (2010), *The problem of local building materials in housing development in Ouagadougou.*

[16]..KOMAR (1989) *materials and building elements, Mir Moscow Publishing.*

[17]..Rémond, J.M.Mechling, EricGarcia-Diaz, R.Lavaud, Romain Trauchessec, Bogdan Cazacliu (Sep 2020 ) *Caractérisation des granulats de béton recyclés.*

[18].BENAICHI El hadj (2018) *Durabilité des bétons à base du sable de démolition et ajouts minéraux actifs*

[19]..Mamery Serifou (20 Mars 2014) *Béton à base de recyclât : influence du type de recyclât et rôle de la formulation*

[20]..Ayodele Adessina (29 Mars 2019) *Caractérisation expérimentale et modélisation multi-échelle des propriétés mécaniques et de durabilité des bétons à base de granulats recyclés*

[21]..HACHEMI Samya (2015) *Etude du Comportement du béton soumis à haute température : Influence du type de béton et de la nature des constituants*

[22]..TOUMI Belkacem (2018)*ETUDE DE L'INFLUENCE DES HAUTES TEMPERATURES SUR LE COMPORTEMENT DU BETON*

[23]..Mohamed SALHI1,Mohamed GHRICI2, Toufik BOUBEKEUR3, Amar BENYAHIA2, , Amer AIT MOHAMED1, Said Choucha2 (Décembre 2019 )*Effets des ciments composés sur les indicateurs de durabilité des bétons autoplaçant par temps chaud*