

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_101348</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CHALLENGES FACED BY CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS IN GHANA AND THE SUPPORT SYSTEMS AVAILABLE TO THEM AND THEIR FAMILIES</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>A bit</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the title: added Accra because the study was carried out in a city and not for the whole country</li> <li>- Is the number of children the same number as the caregivers?</li> <li>- Methodology: determining the questionnaires used.</li> <li>- The study was conducted in 2018 (part: study location) or 2019 (part: Methodology)!</li> <li>- Results: <b>About half (52%)</b> had help caring for their child at home primarily through family, 22% received financial assistance, while 10.9% had access to specialist care. <b>More than half, 33 (52%).....</b> not clear!</li> <li>- Keyword: it is necessary to specify what delay and what challenges it is</li> <li>- Instrument and methods of data collection: These questionnaires should be cited</li> <li>- Data processing, analysis and presentation: . It is preferable at least to add mean and standard deviation and if possible also the Student's t test or chi-square test (<math>\chi^2</math>).</li> <li>- For tables it is better to put number instead of frequency.</li> <li>- For figures you must put either the number or the percentage and not both.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Khalid Astitene</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Morocco</b>