

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106409
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Diversity Analysis and Molecular Diversity in Greengram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> (L.) Wilczek) Genotypes using SSR Markers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)														
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Section</th> <th>Corrections</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Title</td> <td>Needs to be written in accordance with the content</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Introduction</td> <td>Appropriate, correct the grammatical mistakes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Methodology</td> <td>Appropriate</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Result</td> <td>Need to give weightage to the SSR study</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Conclusion</td> <td>Needs to be revised with the appropriate use of Marker finding....</td> </tr> <tr> <td>References</td> <td>Follow the journal guidelines</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Section	Corrections	Title	Needs to be written in accordance with the content	Introduction	Appropriate, correct the grammatical mistakes	Methodology	Appropriate	Result	Need to give weightage to the SSR study	Conclusion	Needs to be revised with the appropriate use of Marker finding....	References	Follow the journal guidelines	
Section	Corrections															
Title	Needs to be written in accordance with the content															
Introduction	Appropriate, correct the grammatical mistakes															
Methodology	Appropriate															
Result	Need to give weightage to the SSR study															
Conclusion	Needs to be revised with the appropriate use of Marker finding....															
References	Follow the journal guidelines															
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 																
<p>Optional/General comments</p>																

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. P. Suthar
Department, University & Country	Navsari Agricultural University, India