

Original Research Article

Morphological Variability and Molecular Diversity in Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) Genotypes Using SSR Markers

ABSTRACT

A study on the Morphological variability of 25 Blackgram genotypes was carried out in which molecular diversity of 15 genotypes of Blackgram was studied by using 8 SSR markers. The GCV was lower than PCV for all traits, however, there was narrow difference in PCV and GCV, indicating the effect of environment in lesser frequency. The high seed yield was recorded in the genotypes PLU-429 (8.06 gm) followed by PL-416 (7.37 gm) and VBN-8 (7.29 gm). Among all the factors PC 1 to PC 8, the PC 1 (40.610) accounted maximum proportion of variability in the set of all variables. The Polymorphism information content PIC values of 8 SSR markers valued from 31 percent to 71 percent with mean of 51 percent. BG 18 exhibited highest PIC 71 percent and highest heterozygosity of 62 percent. As per Dissimilarity coefficient, 15 genotypes are grouped into five clusters, Cluster II & V has highest 4 genotypes while in compare with Cluster I, III & IV. SSR marker BG18 has highest number of alleles 5. Shannon's information index (I) ranged from 1.465 for BG18 to 0.611 for BG07. Fixation index is ranged from 1.000 to -0.111 with mean 0.696. SSRs with high polymorphism information content successfully assisted in the differentiation of genotypes in this study.

Keywords: Blackgram, GCV, Molecular diversity, PCV, PIC, SSR.

INTRODUCTION

Blackgram or urd bean (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) is one of the significant *Vigna* species pulse crop majorly cultivated in India. It is a short-lived, diploid grain legume ($2n = 2x = 22$) that is self-pollinated. Blackgram is thought to have originated from a wild form of *Vigna mungo* var. *silvestris* in India Kaewwongwalet *et al.*, (2015). Blackgram seeds are rich in nutrients, including protein (25–26%), carbs (60%) and fat (1.5%), as well as vitamins, minerals, and amino acids

Singh and Singh, (2013). Additionally, it is a significant kharif and spring/summer pulse crop in several South Asian nations, including India, Pakistan, Nepal, Bangladesh, Thailand, and Korea Ghafoor and Arshad, (2008). Despite its significance, this crop produces surprisingly little. Blackgram is mostly produced and consumed in India. In Andhra Pradesh, Blackgram is grown on an area of 393 thousand hectares with an annual production of 365 thousand tonnes and a productivity of about 929 kg/ha. The annual production of Blackgram in India is 2340 thousand tonnes from 4670 thousand hectares with an average productivity of 501 kg/ha (Ministry of Agriculture, 2020-21). The main obstacles to increasing productivity are a lack of genetic diversity that can be exploited, a lack of suitable ideotypes, a low harvest index, vulnerability to biotic and abiotic stresses, a lack of high-quality seeds of improved varieties, and a limited genetic base as a result of the repeated use of a small number of parents with a high degree of relatedness in crossing programmes Hadimani *et al.*, (2016). The development of new Blackgram cultivars is necessary to increase production. Any research initiative to increase crop output should start with an evaluation of the genetic variability of pulses.

The exploitation of current genetic variability by calculating various genetic parameters such as genotypic, phenotypic, and environmental variances, their coefficient of variability, genetic advance, and heritability is quite important in order to frame an effective breeding programme in the following generation. Studying the inheritance of different developmental and productive qualities is primarily helpful. A crucial requirement for crop breeding is understanding of genetic diversity, as successful heterosis breeding depends on genetic variety Kumar *et al.*, (2017). A general understanding of heritability gives insight into the roles that additive and non-additive gene actions play in the development of phenotypes. To understand the impact of the greatest individuals, assessments of heritability together with genetic advancement are more crucial than genetic advancement alone Johnson *et al.*, (1955). One of the main methods for improving a characteristic is selection, which can effectively address heritable differences and genetic variance among the characters under investigation.

Principal component analysis (PCA), a kind of multivariate analysis and cluster analysis are examples of potential approaches for assessing phenotypic variety, locating genetically remote genotype groups, and choosing significant features that contribute to the overall variation in genotypes. These studies offer data that could aid in developing breeding plans for trait enhancement as well as better parental genotype selection for particular traits. An accurate indication of genetic differences, principal component analysis (PCA) enables natural grouping of the genotypes. Identification of plant features that classify the distinctiveness among potential genotypes using PCA is highly beneficial Chakraborty *et al.*, (2013). In contrast to morphological variability, molecular markers can identify genotype differences at

the DNA level, offering a more direct, accurate, and effective tool for identification, management, and conservation of germplasm Tanksley *et al.*, (1989). There has only been a small amount of research done on blackgram's nuclear DNA diversity. Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, often known as microsatellites, are brief tandem repetitive DNA sequences having repetition lengths of one to five base pairs. Genetic mapping and genome analysis, genotype identification and variety protection, seed purity assessment and germplasm preservation, diversity studies, paternity determination and pedigree analysis, gene and quantitative trait locus analysis, and marker-assisted breeding are just a few of the many applications for which microsatellites have emerged as the preferred molecular markers Archana and Jawali, (2007). being stable and codominant, numerous and dispersed throughout the genome, repeatable, and possessing a significant amount of polymorphism Powell *et al.*, (1996). Many different taxa's genomes contain SSRs, which are tandem repeat motifs of 1-6 nucleotides Beckmann *et al.*, (1992). Using RAPD Lakhanpaul *et al.*, (2000) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) Bhat *et al.*, (2005) markers, it has been found that the released Indian blackgram cultivars have a small genetic base. Application of molecular methods for Blackgram's genetic advancement has been constrained by the paucity of polymorphic molecular markers. As a substitute for increasing the number of accessible markers, markers from related species can be transferred. The objective of the current study was to use SSR in Blackgram to evaluate the morphological variety and molecular variability of elite genotypes of Blackgram.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The goal of the current experiment was to determine the morphological variability and molecular variety of many variables related to the crop's potential production. 25 Blackgram genotypes were tested in the study using a random block design at the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, SHUATS, Prayagraj, in *kharif*, 2021 followed by 15 blackgram genotypes molecular work is done from Plant Biotechnology Division, Indian Institute of Pulses Research (IIPR) Kanpur. The morphological data were recorded and statistical analysis of genetic variability and molecular diversity was performed on them. Five randomly selected plants were chosen to gather information on the following: plant height, primary branch count, cluster count, pod count, pod length in cm, seed count, 100 seed weight per pod, biological yield, harvest index, and plant seed yield in blackgram. Burton (1952) gave formulas for calculating the major genetic characteristics, including GCV and PCV, whereas Johnson *et al.* provided formulas for calculating heritability and GA as% mean (1955).

Table 1: List of Genotypes of Blackgram used for molecular diversity with their pedigree and salient features

Genotypes	Pedigree
VBN-8	VAMBAN3 X VBN-04-008, VAMBAN
PU-19	UPU-1 X UPU-2, GBPUAT Pant Nagar
IPU-10-26	UH-85-5 X PDU-103
ADT-3	Pureline selection from Tirunelveli local(Tamil Nadu)
IPU-94-1	NP19 X T-9, IIPR KANPUR
LBG-752	LBG402 X LBG20, Guntur (LAM)
SHEKHAR -2	7378/2 X T9, (CSAUAT KANPUR)
PGRU-95016	Germplasm collection, UP
KC-153	Germplasm collection, UP
PLU-429	Germplasm collection, UP
IPU-99-16	Germplasm collection, UP
PLU-25	Germplasm collection, UP
PLU-110	Germplasm collection, UP
UH-85-2	Germplasm collection, HAU-Hisar
PDU-3	Advanced breeding line, IIPR

Genomic DNA extraction and quantification


Using the Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction method Doyle and Doyle, (1987) which is modified by Nadia Aboul-Ftooh Aboul- maaty *et al.*, (2019) adding higher concentration of CTAB and 2- β -mercaptoethanol. The total genomic DNA was isolated from 15 genotypes and quantified by 0.8 % Ethidium bromide agarose plate method. The concentration of genomic DNA of each genotype was determined by checking with known concentration of λ DNA of ~ 200ng as shown in (Fig 1) and concentration of each genotype adjusted to 20 ng μl^{-1} by diluting with Milli-Q water for SSR marker analysis.

Building up of the SSR-PCR 24 SSR or microsatellite repeat primers were used to screen the

Blackgram germplasm lines. A 10- μ L reaction volume comprising 0.3 μ l dNTP mix, 1 μ l Taq buffer(1x), 0.2 μ l of Taq polymerase, 1 μ l of primer (Forward and reverse primer), 1 μ l DNA (20ng) of each sample and make up to the volume 10 μ l by adding 6.5 μ l of Milli-Q water used for the PCR amplification. Pre-denaturation at 94 °C for 5 minutes was followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 40 seconds, annealing at 50.0-60.0 °C for 40 seconds, extension at 72 °C for 1 minute, and final extension for 10 minutes at 72 °C with a storage temperature of 10.0°C were the reaction conditions used for the amplification. By 4% agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, India), amplification products were separated using electrophoresis, and gel images were obtained from the Gel documentation unit as shown in (Fig 2).

Data analysis

For each marker allele-genotype combination, amplicons made with SSR primers and resolved on agarose gels were scored quantitatively for presence using binary coding, where presence was denoted by (1) and absence by (0). The binary data was analysed for the number of alleles, Shannon's information index, PIC (Polymorphism information content), and heterozygosity using the Gene Alex 6.5 tool. Utilizing the XLstatver 2021 programme, PCA(Principal Component Analysis) data was gathered. The NTsys application was used to create a molecular dendrogram cluster analysis for 15 blackgram genotypes using the ward technique and squared Euclidean distance.



S.no	Name of the Primer	Sequence of Forward and Reverse Primers	T _m	AT	No. Of bases
------	--------------------	---	----------------	----	--------------

1	BG 06F	GCATTTTCATCAACCACACAGAT	51.1°C	56°C	22
	BG 06R	GTCGGTTTCCAGACACGAG	53.3°C		19
2	BG 08F	CAAGCATTGAGAGGAAAACGA	50.0°C	51°C	21
	BG 08R	ACCATACCCTCCACTTTTGGT	52.4°C		21
3	BG 21F	GAACTGTATGTAGCAGGGGCTC	56.7°C	55°C	22
	BG 21R	AGAGGAGACAAAACGCAGAGAT	53.0°C		22
4	BG 16F	ACGCCTATGGCTTCAGTAAAAG	53.0°C	57°C	22
	BG 16R	GCAAGCAAGAAAAGCTCACAA	50.5°C		21
5	BG 18F	GTGGTTGTTGTTGGTGATTCTG	53.0°C	54°C	22
	BG 18R	AGGGTGAGCGTTATGAGGTAGA	54.8°C		22
6	BG 07F	CTCTCTGCACCTTCTGCCTTAT	54.8°C	54°C	22
	BG 07R	GTTTCCTCAAACAGCAACCAAC	53.0°C		22
7	BG 36F	TAGAACCACCATAACCCCAATC	53.0°C	59°C	22
	BG 36R	GAACGAAGCTGGAGAAGTTGTT	53.0°C		22
8	VR 91F	ACATATGTATCTGTCTGTGTGCCTA	54.4°C	54°C	25
	VR 91R	TGGAGATGCAGGACTAAGAAGAG	55.3°C		23

Fig 1: Gel picture of Genomic DNA quantification by Agarose plate method

Table 2: Listof Polymorphic SSR markers

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis of variance showed a substantial difference between all of the genotypes for each characteristic. This suggests that there was sufficient room in the current gene pool to select promising lines for yield and its component traits. The presence of a significant amount of variability may result from the use of different sources of data as well as environmental factors that affect the phenotypes. Similar results were found by Panda et al. (2017), Anu et al. (2017), and Tanveer et al. (2018), which revealed significant mean sum of squares values for all the Blackgram characters studied.

The genotypes PLU-429 (8.06gm), PL-416 (7.37gm), VBN-8 (7.29gm), and IPU-99-16 (7.227gm), which had the highest seed output per plant based on mean performance, are thus recognized as promising lines with high yield potential and good quality for replacing

SHEKAR-2 (check) (6.72gm). TLU-326 had the earliest day to 50% flowering and the earliest day to 50% pod setting. PL-416 had the most primary branches, clusters per plant, and harvest index, and CO-6 had the highest biological production.

The phenotypic coefficient of variation was found to be larger than the genotypic coefficient of variation, which suggests that the environment may have an impact on the manifestation of the character under study. The plant height, pod length, and seed yield were the variables with the greatest variations between GCV and PCV. The findings of Chubatemsuet *et al.* (2017), Bishnoi *et al.* (2017), Kondagariet *et al.* (2017), Bandi *et al.* (2018), Blesseyet *et al.* (2018), Sushmitharajet *et al.* (2018), and Sarvani *et al.* (2018) were in agreement with the experimental results (2020). The range of heritability (in the broad sense) was 21.756 to 85.509. The number of major branches per plant (85.509), number of pods per plant (73.841), biological yield (72.282), harvest index (71.379), and number of seeds per pod were shown to have the highest heritability's (70.222). There is no evidence of low or moderate heritability in these traits. The present study's high heritability values for the traits under consideration showed that those traits were less influenced by the environment and helped in the effective selection of traits based on phenotypic expression by using a simple selection method. These high heritability values also suggested the potential for genetic improvement. According to Johnson *et al.* (1955), genetic gain would be more helpful in estimating the effectiveness of selection than just heritability estimates alone.

Harvest index had the highest estimated genetic advance, whereas pod length had the lowest. It is possible to directly use characters with high heritability and high predicted genetic advance to enhance such characters. The function of additive gene action is also indicated by qualities with high heritability but low genetic progress, and these features may be utilized as an extra selection criterion if necessary. According to Panse and Sukhatme (1957), the function of additive gene action in the successful selection of the concerned characters is supported by a combination of moderate or high values of heritability and genetic progress.

The range of genetic progress as a percentage of the mean was 2.74% to 36.36%. Number of primary branches, harvest index, and biological yield per plant all showed high genetic advance as a percent of mean, whereas Days to 50% pod initiation showed the lowest genetic advance.

Using the paradigm provided by Sneath and Sokal (1973), principal component analysis was carried out, and factors with eigen values larger than one was taken into consideration. PCA was carried out for 8 SSR markers for 15 Blackgram genotypes based on the polymorphic band

results. Of all the factors PC1 TO PC2, PC1 (40.610) accounted for the greatest percentage of variability in the set of all variables, while PC8 (0.769) accounted for progressively less variability. The data above indicate that PC1 has the highest Eigen value (3.249), while PC8 has the lowest Eigen value (0.062). In the past, several crops used a similar application of PCA to create 2D and 3D diagrams and, in turn, to comprehend the genetic diversity. According to the gene action guiding various traits, Jadhav *et al.* (2014) in finger millet; Naik *et al.* (2016) in cotton; Priya *et al.* (2017) in rice; Ayesha and Babu (2018) in foxtail millet; and Priya *et al.* 2019 in Blackgram to indicate the successful hybrid combination to obtain superior hybrids or transgressive segregants. The eight SSR markers are used to cluster the 15 Blackgram genotypes using the ward approach and squared Euclidean distance. The 15 genotypes are divided into five clusters as shown in (Fig 5) Cluster I, II, III, IV, and Cluster V—based on the dissimilarity coefficient. Three genotypes make up Cluster I, which is further divided into two subclusters: IA, which includes KC-153 and LBG-752, and IB, which includes SHEKHAR-2. Four genotypes make up Cluster II, which is broken into two smaller clusters. ADT-3 and PU-19 make up subcluster IIA, whereas PLU-110 and UH-85-2 make up subcluster IIB. Three genotypes make up Cluster III, which is broken into two smaller clusters. PLU-25 and IPU-99-16 make up subcluster IIIA, while PLU-429 is the only genotype in subcluster IIIB. IPU-10-26 is the only genotype that makes up Cluster IV. Four genotypes make up Cluster V, which is separated into two subclusters. Subcluster VA contains PDU-3 and IPU-94-1, while subcluster VB has VBN-8 and PGRU-95016.

The SSR marker BG18 has the maximum number of alleles (5), followed by BG06 and BG08 with 4 alleles each, BG21, BG16, and BG36 with 3 alleles each, and BG07 and VR91 with 2 alleles each. In comparison to the findings of Tantasawat *et al.* (2010) the total and average number of alleles obtained in the current study was small. Due to the relatively small population studied and the lack of polymorphic markers, Deepak *et al.*, Kanimozhi *et al.*, Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, Ajibade *et al.* The observed heterozygosity has a mean of 0.183 and a range of 0.000 to 1.000. The BG18 marker had the highest observed heterozygosity, which was reported at 100%, and the BG06, BG08, BG21, BG36, and VR91 had the lowest, which was recorded at 0%. The expected heterozygosity has a mean of 0.599 and a range of 0.756 to 0.420. The anticipated heterozygosity measured for BG18 is 78%, while the observed heterozygosity for VR91 is 40%. An essential factor that assesses the effectiveness of polymorphic loci and establishes the capacity of markers to discriminate is the polymorphism information content (PIC).

The average polymorphic information content value (PIC) per locus was 0.519, with values ranging from 0.315 to 0.713 per locus. Eight SSR markers had PIC values ranging from 31% to 71% with a mean of 51%. The highest percent of PIC Value among the eight markers was created by SSR BG18, while the lowest was detected for BG07. These values were discovered to be somewhat lower than those noted by Srivastava *et al.* but comparable to those noted by Tantasawat *et al.* The Shannon's information index (I), which is used to quantify species diversity at random, yielded results in the current study that showed high (I) diversity for BG18 and lowest diversity for BG07, with an average Shannon's index of 1.015 for the eight SSR polymorphic markers. With a mean of 0.696, the fixation index ranges from 1.000 to -0.111. The BG06, BG08, BG21, BG16, BG36, and VR91 all have the maximum fixation index of 1.000, while BG07 has the lowest fixation value of -0.111 and BG-18 has the lowest fixation index of -0.324. Blackgram genotypes with a small number of heterozygous loci accounted for the high fixation index in the majority of the loci by self-pollination. Low variance was found to be correlated with a high fixation index.




Fig 2: Gel pictures of Agarose stained with Ethidium bromide showing genetic polymorphism among Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) genotypes using SSR primers. M: 50bp Ladder

UNDEP

Table3:Estimatesofgeneticparametersforcomponentcharactersin Blackgram

S.No.	TRAITS	GCV	PCV	h^2 (Broad Sense) %	Genetic Advance 5%	Gen. Adv as % of Mean 5%
1	Days to fifty percent flowering	3.054	3.964	59.351	2.222	4.846
2	Days to fifty percent pod setting	2.085	3.267	40.719	1.486	2.74
3	Days to maturity	2.522	3.644	47.896	2.318	3.595
4	Plant height (cm)	4.593	8.238	31.089	3.118	5.276
5	Number of primary branches per plant	19.092	20.646	85.509	1.83	36.368
6	Number of clusters per plant	7.027	9.782	51.601	1.174	10.398
7	Number of pods per plant	12.332	14.352	73.841	5.015	21.831
8	Number of seeds per pod	9.125	10.889	70.222	0.85	15.752
9	Pod length (cm)	3.839	7.038	29.747	0.18	4.313
10	Seed yield per plant (g)	3.272	7.015	21.756	0.202	3.144
11	Biological yield per plant (g)	12.252	14.411	72.282	4.388	21.458
12	Harvest Index (%)	13.353	15.805	71.379	7.429	23.239
13	Seed Index (g)	8.514	11.212	57.661	0.575	13.318




Fig.3: Graph depicting GCV, PCV, Genetic advance and Heritability for 13 Quantitative characters of Blackgram Genotypes

Table4: Total variance explained by different principal components in Blackgram

Observations	Eigenvalue	Variability (%)	Cumulative %
PC1	3.249	40.610	40.610
PC2	1.790	22.377	62.987
PC3	1.524	19.054	82.041
PC4	0.640	8.000	90.041
PC5	0.315	3.943	93.984
PC6	0.281	3.512	97.497
PC7	0.139	1.735	99.231
PC8	0.062	0.769	100.000




Fig. 4: Distribution of 15 genotypes on the bi-plot axes in PC 1 and PC2 of principal component analysis

Table5: Information generated by using 8 SSR markers in Blackgram genotypes

Markers	Alleles count	Number of effective alleles	Observed heterozygosity	Expected heterozygosity	PIC	I	Fixation index
BG06	4	3.261	0.000	0.693	0.638	1.270	1.000
BG07	2	1.724	0.467	0.420	0.315	0.611	-0.111
BG08	4	2.848	0.000	0.649	0.586	1.171	1.000
BG21	3	2.711	0.000	0.631	0.556	1.044	1.000
BG16	3	2.273	0.000	0.560	0.461	0.892	1.000
BG18	5	4.091	1.000	0.756	0.713	1.465	-0.324
BG36	3	2.528	0.000	0.604	0.521	0.991	1.000
VR91	2	1.923	0.000	0.480	0.365	0.673	1.000
Total	26	21.359	1.467	4.793	4.155	8.117	5.565
Mean	3.250	2.670	0.183	0.599	0.519	1.015	0.696




Fig. 5: Cluster analysis using ward method and squared Euclidean distance for 15 Blackgram genotypes.

CONCLUSION

According to the results of the inquiry, there were substantial differences between the 25 genotypes as shown by the Analysis of Variance, which suggests that it may be possible to choose promising lines from the available germplasm. PLU-429, PL-416, and IPU-99-16 genotypes produced the most amount of seeds. For the number of primary branches and harvest index, high PCV, GCV, heritability, and genetic progress were recorded as a percentage of the mean. The largest amount of variability in the set of all variables was accounted for by PC 1, the first of all the factors (PCs) (numbered 1 to 8). With a PIC of 71% and a heterozygosity of 62%, BG-18 had the greatest levels of both. Comparatively to Cluster I, III, and IV, Cluster II and V have the highest number of genotypes. In this work, SSRs with high polymorphism information richness successfully aided in genotype discrimination. The findings of this study indicate that molecular diversity among Blackgram genotypes can be estimated with success using SSR analysis.

REFERENCES

- Ajibade S R, Weeden N F and Chite S M. 2000. Inter simple sequence repeat analysis of genetic relationships in the genus *Vigna*. *Euphytica*. 111: 47-55.
- Anu, B., Priyanka, G., Meghawal, D.R. and Lal, G. M. (2017). Evaluation of genetic variability and heritability in Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) genotypes, *Journal of pharmacognosy and phytochemistry*, 6 (4): 493-496.
- Ayesha Md, Babu DR. Estimation of genetic diversity in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.) germplasm using principal component analysis. *Int. J. Chem. Stud* 2018;6(5):2580-2583.
- Bandi, H.R., Rao, K.N., Krishna, K.V., Srinivasulu, K. (2018). Variability, heritability, and genetic advance for quantitative characters in rice fallow Blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper], *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7 (2): 171-176.
- Bishnoi, A., Gupta, P., Meghawal, D.R., Lal, G.M. (2017). Evaluation of genetic variability and heritability in Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) genotypes, *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6 (4): 493-496.
- Blessy, V., Naik, B.P., Yadav, B.N. (2018). Studies on genetic variability in Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper), *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7

- (2):1730-1732.
- Burton, G.W. (1952). Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proceedings of the Sixth International Grassland Congress*, 277-283.
- Chakravorty A, Ghosh PD, Sahu PK. Multivariate analysis of landraces of rice of West Bengal. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 2013;3(1):110-123.
- Chubatemsu, O. and Malini, B.S. (2017). Variability among urdbean (*Vigna mungo* (L.)Hepper) for yield and yield components, *International Journal of ResearchandInnovation in Applied Science(IJRIAS)*, 2 (4).
- Deepak D P, Rajendra A S. 2011. A Comparative Analysis of Genetic Diversity in Genus *Vigna savi* genotypes using ISSR. *International Journal of PharmTech Research* CODEN (USA): IJPRIF ISSN : 0974-4304 (3): 464-470.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. *Focus* 12:13–15.
- Ghafoor A, Arshad M. Multivariate analyses for quantitative traits to determine genetic diversity of black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] germplasm. *Pak. J Bot*2008;40:2307-2313.
- Hadimani A, Konda CR, Nidagundi JM, Patil R. Genetic diversity analysis in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) based on quantitative traits. *Green Farming* 2016;7(3):598-601.
- Jadhav R, Babu DR, Ahamed ML, Rao VS. Assessment of genetic divergence in Finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.] for yield and yield contributing traits. *Intl. J. Food. Ferment. Technol* 2014;4(2):113-120.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RF. Estimation of genetic environmental variability in soyabean. *Agronomy Journal*. 1955; 47:314-318.
- Kaewwongwal A, Kongjaimun A, Somta P, Chankaew S, Yimram T, Srinivas P. Genetic diversity of black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) gene revealed by SSR markers. *Breed Sci* 2015;65(2):127-137.
- Kanimozhi P M. Jayamani and Nadarajan N. 2009. Genetic diversity as assessed by ISSR markers in Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 1: 12-17.
- Kondagari Hemalatha S.S., Lal G.M. (2017). Study on genetic variability and correlationin Blackgram(*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper),*Journal of Pharmacognosy andPhytochemistry*,6 (4): 674-676.
- Ministry of Agriculture. Government of India (2020-21). [http:// www.indiastat.com](http://www.indiastat.com)

- Nadia Aboul-Ftoohaboul- Maaty, Hanaa Abdel-sadekOraby, 2019. Extraction of high-quality genomic DNA from different plant orders applying a modified CTAB-Based method. *Bulletin of the national research Centre*43 (1): 1-10, 2019
- Powell, Machray, W., G. C. & Provan, J. (1996). Polymorphism revealed by simple sequence repeats. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 1(7), 215–221 (1996).
- Priya ChS, Babu DR, Rajesh AP, Satyanarayana NH, Kumar VM, Rao VS. Multivariate analysis using principal component analysis for various traits in Mungbean. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem*2019;8(5):2425- 2429
- Singh SK, Singh GC. Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and zinc on nutrient composition in Black gram. *The Journal of Rural and Agricultural Research* 2013;13(2): 63,64
- Sushmitharaj, D.V., Shoba, D. and Arumugam Pillai, M. 2018. Genetic variability studies is in blackgram (*Vigna mungo*) (L.) Hepper) with reference to YMV resistance. *International Journal of current microbiology and applied sciences*, 6:2489-2856.
- Souframanien J and Gopalakrishna T. 2004. A comparative analysis of genetic diversity in blackgram genotypes using RAPD and ISSR markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 109: 1687-1693.
- Tanveer, H., Kumar, A., Singh, R., Singh H, Singh S, Singh R. (2018). Studies on geneticvariability,characterassociationandpathanalysisinBlackgram(*Vignamungo* L.Hepper)varieties. *Trends in Biosciences*,11 (42):4182-4185.
- Tanksley SD, Young ND, Paterson AH a Bonierbale MW (1989). RFLP mapping in plant breeding:new tools for an old science. *Nat. Biotech.* 7:257-264.
- Tantasawat P, Trongchuen J, Prajongjai T, Thongpae T, Petkhum C, Seehalak W and Machikowa T. 2010. Variety identification and genetic relationships of mungbean and blackgram in Thailand based on morphological characters and ISSR analysis. *African Journal of Biotechnology.* 9 (27): 4452-4464.