

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105990
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Zinc and Thiourea fertilizer at different stages for growth and yield of green gram (Vigna radiata L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>One season data is not enough to make any conclusions. Repeat the experiments for one more season and then report as a paper.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Abstract directly goes into the experiment part. There must be a statement "Why this study is relevant" in the abstract.</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>ok</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language is not up to the mark. Need improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Why did the author /authors choose Zn and Thiourea? Farmers do not use Thiourea. Zn too only a handful of them use for a crop and especially for a legume (pulse) cop.</p> <p>A second issue is that there is only ONE season data here. For a convincing Agronomic study a minimum 2 years experimentation and data reporting is required.</p> <p>I strongly suggest the paper be kept in abeyance (not published) and let the authors improve the paper with at least one more season data.</p> <p>Single season data is not enough to conclude anything especially in a field experiment in agriculture. Repeat the trials. Report with a minimum of two season data.</p> <p>Revise with one more season data and resubmit.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P Soman
Department, University & Country	India