

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105920
Title of the Manuscript:	CULTURAL VARIABILITY AMONG CERATOCYSTIS FIMBRIATA ISOLATES CAUSING WILT DISEASE OF POMEGRANATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community 2. The title should be reviewed as suggested in the review 3. The abstract is very deficient. Needs review 4. Yes 5. It is scientifically correct 6. Yes. However, the introduction section does not have sufficient references and that should be looked into <p>The botanical name of the plant should be used to give it a more scientific relevance. The common name of any plant does not have global acceptability .</p> <p>The procedure for the identification and authentication of the scientific name of the plant <i>Punica granatum</i> L. should be clearly stated alongside the name and literature used in the identification and authentication</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omodot Timothy Umoh
Department, University & Country	University of Uyo, Nigeria