

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_105820</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of organic manure on growth and yield of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) varieties</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This article is to study the effect of organic manure on growth and yield of chickpea. Organic manures are alternative sources of chemical fertilizer with low environmental impacts and improved soil quality. For sustainable agriculture, the organic manures should focus and apply for not only crop production and researches. Moreover, the traditional organic sources should be enhanced and applied doing research. Therefore, this result of this article would be helpful and useful for future work and for research community.</p> <p>2. The article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract should be paraphrased. Some are wordy and should be removed.</p> <p>4. Although the subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite appropriate, the ways of explaining the results should be corrected to be in line with journal guidelines.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is somewhat scientifically correct. However, the author should describe more clearly and directly to understand by readers how their research is important, the objectives, way of explain the results and discussion, conclusions.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient. But, the reference style should be checked and synchronized.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Writing styles should be improved; especially spelling and usage	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daisy Myint</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Myanmar</b>