

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105639
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Sulphur and Molybdenum on Growth, Yield and Economics of Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript investigates the influence of Sulphur and Molybdenum on Growth, Yield and Economics of Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) and found that among different Sulphur and foliar application molybdenum studied in baby corn, soil application of Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Molybdenum 1.5% (T9) recorded more groundnut yield, and was found economically viable with higher net returns and benefit: cost ratio. The findings are interesting and valuable for enhancing the production and rentability of groundnut yield. The topic is suitable with appropriate structure and subsections. The scientific content of the results and discussion is acceptable.</p> <p>However, the abstract needs to be rewritten briefly as follows: Why this topic? How and where was the investigation conducted? What are the major findings and novelties, and what did these imply? There is no connection between the first sentence of the abstract and the others.</p> <p>The citation style needs to be uniformized. For two or more citations at once, there is a need for merging them. If possible, provide DOI for the references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language/English quality of the article needs to be polished for better communication and flow</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For future peer-review process, I suggest authors use continuous line numbers in the manuscript.</p> <p>I suggest authors should expand their discussion on why among the treatment combinations it is soil application of Sulphur 40 kg/ha + Molybdenum 1.5% (T9) that recorded more groundnut yield and was found economically viable with higher net returns and benefit.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Binessi Edouard Ifon
Department, University & Country	Shantou University, China