

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105280
Title of the Manuscript:	Genotype x Environment Interaction and Yield-Stability Analysis of Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Grown in salt affected soil.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>It is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>Yes, appropriate.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Adding more recent references is recommended</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is highly recommended to have the paper grammar-checked and undergo stylistics and editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please follow the journal guidance.</p> <p>1. In results and discussion chapter – quantitative trait - 'spikelet fertility (%) has not discussed.</p> <p>2. In abstract written as 25 rice genotypes, but in table 27 rice genotypes are mentioned.</p> <p>3. Carry up all corrections suggested/rectify typographical mistakes.</p> <p>accepted subjected to addition of discussion on quantitative parameter of 'Spikelet fertility (%)'</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dommalapati Sudhakara Rao
Department, University & Country	Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, India