

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_105929
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATED PULMONARY VALVE ENDOCARDITIS ON AN UNDIAGNOSED CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE IN A YOUNG ADULT. A RARE CLINICAL ENTITY
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? ok (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?ok 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?ok 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>What was the evidence of non involvement of the tricuspid valve in the case</p> <p>Authors themselves talk of 1.6 % of the cases being pulmonary valve and hence the case seems not unusual.</p> <p>What were the surgical findings with respect to infective endocarditis</p> <p>Please check for multiple spelling mistake (mise in conclusion etc) and syntax errors</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?ok 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neeraj Awasthy
Department, University & Country	Fortis Escorts Heart Institute, India