

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_105578
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD PERFORMANCE OF TWO DROUGHT TOLERANT RICE MUTANTS IN BORO SEASON AT TWO SEMI DROUGHT PRONE AREAS OF BANGLADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript will make significant contribution to the scientific community. The study is essential for location specific crop management system. Also, farming community may find this manuscript to be useful for the crop planning after validated by the group of experts. Overall the manuscript is noted important.</p> <p>Title may be added with the name of mutants instead of 'two rice mutants" alone,</p> <p>Yes A preamble may be provided under result and discussion section before starting with sub-headings. Some of the subheading are mentioned as 'hill superscript -1'. It can be written as 'per hill'.</p> <p>Yes References are not enough. Few can be added.</p> <p>The manuscript is good for district level agricultural guidance. The manuscript must be reaching the local experts and literal groups of the respective districts. Use the word 'per' instead of 'power of -1'.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Manuscript is well written and fitted with enough data.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rathinavel S
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India