

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_106219
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF SERUM MINERALS (ZINC, CALCIUM, PHOSPHORUS), TOTAL PROTEIN AND LIVER ENZYMES (ALT AND AST) IN HIV PATIENTS RECEIVING HAART IN FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTRE, KEFFI, NASARAWA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for the scientific community. Please add a proper schematic of your works explaining the novelty of your works in an introduction section.</p> <p>Yes, this article title is suitable. Please make it short.</p> <p>Yes, this article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, these subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, this manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Please check all your tables, reference, and figure in the proper format of journals.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes, English language quality is suitable for publication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Please check all your tables, reference, and please make figure in the proper format of journals. Please add a proper schematic of your works explaining the novelty of your works in an introduction section.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arvind Kumar Shukla</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Biomedical Convergence Engineering, Pusan National University, South Korea</b>