

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_105614
Title of the Manuscript:	Readiness and Willingness of Community Pharmacists in Anambra State to Provide Immunization Services.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>R = Yes it is very important</p> <p>R = If the title is adequate, informative and illustrative of the content</p> <p>R = If the structured abstract is complete</p> <p>R = If they are adequate, subsections and general structure</p> <p>R = In essence, the manuscript is correct. The objectives of the work are consistent with the methodology and the results obtained. Likewise, there is internal consistency in the content of the text between results, discussion and conclusions. The design of the work is transversal through a self-applied survey of a structured instrument validated through a pilot test. Calculation of the correct sample and statistical analysis appropriate to the type of variables under study.</p> <p>R = Sufficient references, relevant to the study and updated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Original work in Anambra Nigeria with a cross-sectional design using a structured questionnaire validated with a pilot test as an instrument: application and results obtained through self-administration. Title of the informative and illustrative work of the full content of the article The objectives of the work according to results and concordant with discussion and conclusions. Format of the abstract and length of the entire text, correct. From the known universe (number of pharmacists; N = 236) the sample size was calculated with the appropriate formula, resulting in n = 148 with a criterion level for hypothesis rejection or acceptance (alpha of 0.05). Correct statistical analysis. State limitations of the study and recommendations according to the results of the survey; in particular, remunerate pharmacists, train them as vaccinators (as well as all health personnel) and implement or improve the cold system (refrigeration) to safeguard and preserve the vaccines in good condition. Work that provides sufficient and useful information to expand vaccination coverage in Anambra since the pharmaceutical staff is willing to do so and only requires what is mentioned in recommendations. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Luis Garcia Vigil
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México