

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_105626
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOME PRESERVATIVES ON THE MICROBIAL QUALITY OF COLD-SMOKED CATFISH AT REFRIGERATED TEMPERATURE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important as it is informative in providing ideas to permit the microbial load in the fish samples further increasing shelf life.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes appropriate</p> <p>Yes correct</p> <p>Yes references are ok and sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In paragraph 3 of Introduction section there is a full stop placed after the genus <i>Listeria</i> and in the first paragraph of result section <i>Escheiricha</i> spelling is wrong. Under sub section 3.1 the scientific name should be written as <i>Mastacembelus pancalus</i>.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devajit Basumatari
Department, University & Country	Cotton University, India