

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_105237
Title of the Manuscript:	Bushmeat Consumption in Africa: A Microbiological Safety Challenge?
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is very interesting, I hadn't studied bushmeat, and we know the importance of this study, not least because of the different eating habits.</p> <p>2 Yes it is. The title describes the object of study well</p> <p>3 . the abstract could provide better information, it is very summarised, it should present information that attracts the attention of readers from different areas.</p> <p>4. Yes it is.</p> <p>5. The article is well-founded, the subject is important, I believe that the corrections needed are the spelling of microorganisms, and some words that will be corrected in the final formatting.</p> <p>6. The references are up-to-date and relevant to the study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I'd like to know what is this - ACC/CF???</p> <p>The English is good, understandable, but should be revised before publication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Bacterial contamination is the most common in products of animal origin, but we can find various other contaminations such as protozoa, which should have been studied.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mabel Calina De França Paz
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal De Campina Grande, Brasil