

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Food Science Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AFSJ_105237</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bushmeat Consumption in Africa: A Microbiological Safety Challenge?</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Minireview Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes the manuscript is a mine of important information on the subject for the scientific community.</p> <p><b>The title corresponds to the work</b></p> <p><b>The abstract is quite descriptive for the work accomplished</b></p> <p><b>Yes the structure and subsections of the document are quite explicit</b></p> <p><b>We believe the manuscript is scientifically correct and acceptable in its field</b></p> <p><b>Yes references are sufficient but not recent.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>The quality of the English language is good</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This minireview article is an important work regarding the synthesis of important information elements for food security given the high consumption of bushmeat</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kouame Bosson Antoine</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universite Nangui Abrogoua, Côte d'Ivoire</b>