

1 **Original Research Article**

2 **Microbial Quality Evaluation of Seafood Samples from the**
3 **Vishakhapatnam Coast, Andhra Pradesh, India**

4
5 **Abstract:**

6 Consumption of seafood has increased, resulting in the production and productivity
7 of aquaculture in the past few years. Since, seafood is crucial in human nutrition,
8 providing essential nutrients and proteins. However, their perishable nature and
9 vulnerability to microbial contamination make them prone to spoilage and foodborne
10 illnesses. Therefore, the microbiological analysis of fish samples is paramount to
11 ensure their quality and safety for consumption. In this study, microbiology of fish
12 samples, encompassing various aspects such as microbial load assessment, and
13 pathogen detection. Isolation and identification of pathogenic bacteria viz., *Total plate*
14 *count*, *Escherichia coli*, Total coliforms, *Vibrio* spp, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and
15 *Salmonella* spp. These pathogens pose severe health risks to consumers and
16 highlight the necessity of effective monitoring and control measures throughout the
17 seafood supply chain. Proper handling, storage, and processing practices minimise
18 microbial contamination and preserve fish quality. Implementing Hazard Analysis
19 Critical Control Point (HACCP) plans and Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP)
20 helps ensure the safety of fish products and prevent outbreaks of foodborne
21 illnesses.

22 **Keywords:** Microbiological quality, fish, shellfish, seafood,

23 **Introduction**

24 Fish and shellfish play a significant role in human health, having many beneficial
25 parts in reducing heart diseases, brain function, bone formation, reproduction, etc..
26 Since the olden days, fish and shellfish have been a speciality in traditional cooking,
27 especially in Andhra Pradesh and the whole of India [1]. Andhra Pradesh has a vast
28 coastline stretching over 974 kilometres along the Bay of Bengal and is located on
29 the eastern coast of the Indian subcontinent. This abundant coastline and its
30 extensive network of rivers, lakes, and ponds provide the ideal environment for a rich

31 diversity of fish and shellfish species to thrive [2]. The coastal region of
32 Vishakhapatnam, located in Andhra Pradesh, India, is known for its rich marine
33 biodiversity and abundant seafood resources. The coastal waters of
34 Vishakhapatnam provide a favourable environment for the growth and sustenance of
35 various seafood species, making it a significant hub for fishing and aquaculture
36 activities. With the growing demand for seafood products both domestically and
37 internationally, ensuring the quality and safety of these products has become
38 paramount.

39 The coastal regions of Andhra Pradesh are renowned for their vibrant fishing
40 communities, whose livelihoods are intricately tied to the abundant marine resources
41 of the area. The traditional fishing villages, with their colourful boats and busy fish
42 markets, offer a glimpse into the coastal way of life, where fish and shellfish have
43 been a staple food for generations. The fishing industry is crucial in providing
44 employment opportunities to thousands of people, directly and indirectly, from
45 fishermen to fish vendors and processors. Quality evaluation of seafood samples
46 from the Vishakhapatnam coast plays a crucial role in maintaining consumer
47 confidence, safeguarding public health, and supporting the sustainable development
48 of the local fishing industry. Rigorous evaluation protocols are employed to assess
49 the freshness, microbiological safety, chemical composition, and overall quality of the
50 seafood harvested from this region.

51 Microbes are ubiquitous in nature and pathogenic microorganisms like *E.coli*, *Vibrio*
52 *cholera*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Salmonella paratyphi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Listeria*
53 *monocytogens* are some of the pathogens of public health interest. These pathogens
54 cause severe pose to human health, causing diseases and sometimes leading to
55 death [3]. Fresh seafood is a valuable source of essential nutrients and proteins;
56 however, it can also harbour various microorganisms that may pose a risk to human
57 health. Microbiological analysis of seafood plays a crucial role in ensuring the safety
58 and quality of these products. The study aims to investigate the microbial quality
59 evaluation of seafood samples from the fishing harbour of Vishakhapatnam, Andhra
60 Pradesh.

61

62

63 **Materials and Methods**

64 **Sample collection**

65 The samples obtained comprised fish, shellfish and crustaceans freshly caught and
66 were brought to the landing centres in the fishing harbour of Vishakhapatnam during
67 2022. The samples were collected in sterile polythene bags and appropriately
68 labelled and brought to the laboratory in an insulated container having the ice in the
69 ratio of 1:1 under controlled conditions.

70 **Sample Preparation**

71 The seafood samples were gently washed with sterile distilled water to remove
72 surface debris and excess contaminants. Aseptically, the fish and shellfish samples
73 were cut and blended the sample into smaller, homogeneous portions using sterile
74 pestle and motor for further dilutions using buffered peptone water to obtain a
75 suitable range of colony counts for accurate analysis [4].

76 **Microbiological Analysis:**

77 The following microbiological analyses were conducted on the seafood samples:

78 **a. Total Plate Count (TPC):**

79 The total plate count was done using the spread plate method onto the Plate count
80 agar. The samples were evenly spread over the agar surface using a sterile glass
81 spreader. The plates were incubated at 37°C temperature for 24 to 48 hours. The
82 colonies on the plates ranging were counted and reported as colony-forming units
83 per gram (CFU/g) [4].

84

85 **b. Total Coliform Count:**

86 The coliform count was determined using the most probable number (MPN) method.
87 Serial dilutions of the samples were prepared and inoculated in the test tubes
88 containing about 9mL Lauryl Tryptose Broth (LTB) with an inverted Durham's tube.
89 Three test tubes were inoculated with 1mL from 10^{-1} dilution, another three
90 inoculated from 10^{-2} dilution, and the remaining three inoculated from 10^{-3}

91 dilution. The inoculated tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 - 48 hours. Test tubes
92 showing positive results (gas production in Durham's tube) were counted and
93 recorded as presumptive positive for coliforms. MPN values were determined using
94 the MPN table [5].

95 **c. *E. Coli* Analysis:**

96 MacConkey agar was used for selective enrichment of *E.coli* detection, and the
97 samples were evenly spread. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24
98 hours. The suspected *E.coli* colonies, based on their characteristic appearance on
99 the selective media, were identified using the battery of biochemical tests [5].

100 **d. *Staphylococcus aureus***

101 Baird Parker Agar was used for the enrichment of *S.aureus* isolation and
102 confirmation through catalase and coagulase test [6]. The sample inoculums were
103 evenly spread, and the triplicate plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The
104 colonies were confirmed using the coagulase and catalase tests.

105 **e. *Vibrio* Analysis:**

106 About 25 g samples were blended with 225mL sterilized Alkaline Peptone Water
107 (APW) and incubated at 37°C for 16– 18 hours. Then 1 loopful inoculum from the
108 APW culture was streaked on the preincubated thiosulfate citrate bile salts sucrose
109 agar (TCBS) agar plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours [7]. Typical colonies of *V.*
110 *cholerae* on TCBS agar are large, yellow, and smooth.

111 **f. *Salmonella* Analysis:**

112 About 25 g samples were dissolved in about 225mL of sterilized buffered peptone
113 water (BPW), blended, and incubated at 37°C for 16–20 hours. About 10mL from the
114 set BPW culture was selectively enriched into the 100mL sterilized Selenite Cystine
115 Broth and incubated again at 37°C for 24–48 hours. After incubation, one loopful
116 inoculum from the selective enrichment culture was streaked onto the preincubated
117 BSA and XLD agar plate. Typical *Salmonella* spp. produce pink colonies with or
118 without black centers on XLD agar and brown, grey, or black colonies on BSA agar
119 [8].

120 **Results and discussion**

121 The microbiological analysis was conducted on the samples collected from fresh
122 seafood caught obtained from the Vishakhapatnam coast of Andhra Pradesh and
123 samples received from the market. The samples were assessed for their Total Viable
124 Count (TVC), presence of coliforms, *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella* spp. These
125 parameters are indicative of the microbiological quality and safety of the seafood.
126 Seafood secured an important place in the food component and very essential for
127 the improvement of human health. Pathogenic microbes cause serious threats to
128 human health. Microbial quality evaluation of seafood is essential for safe food. The
129 fresh samples have the Total Plate Count (TPC) ranging from 4.9×10^3 CFU/g to 5.8
130 $\times 10^6$ CFU/g. The shrimp samples range from 5.2×10^4 CFU/g to 2.7×10^6 CFU/g,
131 and the crab samples range from 6.5×10^4 CFU/g to 4.6×10^7 CFU/g. The counts
132 obtained suggest that the seafood samples have a moderate level of microbial
133 contamination.

134 The coliforms of fish samples are <10 MPN/g; shrimp samples <10 MPN/g; and crab
135 samples <10 MPN/g. The coliform counts in all samples were below 10 MPN/g,
136 indicating a low level of faecal contamination. This is an encouraging finding, as
137 coliforms are used as indicators of potential pathogens. The absence of *E. coli* in all
138 samples suggests no faecal contamination and the seafood is free from this specific
139 indicator bacterium. But the coliform counts revealed the presence of faecal
140 contamination in some seafood samples. The MPN values ranged from 1.2 MPN/g to
141 6.7 MPN/g, with higher counts observed in shellfish samples than fish and
142 crustaceans. However, *E.coli*; *Vibrio* spp. and *Salmonella* spp. were absent in the
143 fish, shrimp and crab samples. However, *E.coli* is present in the samples brought
144 from the market. The absence of *Salmonella* spp. in all samples is a positive result,
145 as *Salmonella* is a common pathogen associated with seafood-borne illnesses. The
146 absence of *Salmonella* spp. is encouraging, suggesting that proper food safety
147 protocols are being followed. *Staphylococcus aureus* was found positive in the
148 samples brought from the market place and absent in the samples of seafood catch.
149 This might be due to the contamination in the equipment's, handling etc. *Vibrio*
150 *cholerae* is responsible for the third-highest number of shellfish-related illnesses,
151 after noncholera *Vibrio* spp. and Norwalk viruses [9]. In contrast to *Vibrio* spp., the
152 incidence of *Salmonella* infections due to seafood consumption is still low compared

153 with salmonellosis associated with other foods. However, the detection of *Salmonella*
154 spp. in seafood cannot be skipped as it is responsible for most of foodborne
155 diseases or gastroenteritis characterized by diarrhoea, abdominal cramp, vomiting,
156 nausea, and fever. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention,
157 *Salmonella* is the leading cause of bacterial foodborne illness-causing approximately
158 1.4 million nontyphoidal illnesses, 15,000 hospitalizations, and 400 deaths in the
159 USA annually [10,11].

160 **Conclusion**

161 The microbiological analysis suggests that the fresh seafood catch from the
162 Vishakhapatnam coast of Andhra Pradesh has moderate microbial contamination,
163 with the absence of *Salmonella* sp., *Vibrio* sp. However, *E.coli* and *S.aureus* was
164 detected in the samples brought from the market. This indicates the importance of
165 handling and store of seafood. Hence, properly maintaining its freshness and
166 minimising potential bacterial growth during transportation and storage. Regular
167 monitoring of the microbiological quality of seafood is essential to ensure consumer
168 safety and prevent foodborne illnesses. Implementing stringent Hazard Analysis
169 Critical Control Point (HACCP) plans and Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP)
170 helps ensure the safety of fish products and prevent outbreaks of foodborne
171 illnesses.

172 **References**

- 173 1. Dhanapal, K., Devivaraprasad Reddy, A. and Reddy, G.V.S. 2011. Beneficial
174 effects of fish oil and its applications in human health. *International Journal of*
175 *Medicine, Biological Frontiers*,**17**(12): 1-12.
- 176 2. Anon 2023. Department of fisheries, Govt of India retrieved the information
177 from <https://dof.gov.in/documents/state-fisheries-profile/andhra-pradesh>
178 accessed on 18.08.2023.
- 179 3. Huss, H.H; Ababouch, L; Gram, L. 2003. Assessment and management of
180 seafood safety and quality. *FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No. 444*. Rome,
181 FAO. 230p.
- 182 4. Jha, A.K. 2020. Enumeration of Microorganisms by Plating Technique. In:
183 *Microbial Quality Analysis of Fish and Fishery Products*. Edited by Joseph,
184 T.C., Jha, A.K., Renuka, V., Remya, S. and Anupama, T. K. Veraval Research
185 Centre of ICAR - Central Institute of Fisheries Technology, Gujarat, India. 42-
186 51.

- 187 5. Feng, P., Weagant, S.D., Grant, M. A. and Burkhardt, W. (2002).
188 Bacteriological Analytical Manual, Chapter 4, USFDA.
- 189 6. Bennett, R. W. and Lancette, G. A. 2016. Chapter 12. Staphylococcus
190 aureus. Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM). USFDA (Food and Drug
191 Administration).
- 192 7. Kaysner, C.A and DePaola, Jr. A (2004) Bacteriological Analytical Manual,
193 Chapter 9, USFDA.
- 194 8. Andrews,W.H., Wang,H., Jacobson,A. and Hammack, T. 2019. Chapter 5.
195 Salmonella. Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM). USFDA (Food and Drug
196 Administration).
- 197 9. Wittman R. J. and G. J. Flick, "Microbial contamination of shellfish:
198 prevalence, risk to human health, and control strategies," Annual Review of
199 Public Health, vol. 16, pp. 123–140, 1995.
- 200 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), "Preliminary foodnet data
201 on the incidence of infection with pathogens transmitted commonly through
202 food," Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, vol. 59, no. 14, pp. 418–422,
203 2010, <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5914a2.html>.
- 204 11. Sohana Al S. and Karim, M.K.. 2016. Microbiological Quality Assessment of
205 Frozen Fish and Fish Processing Materials from Bangladesh. International
206 Journal of Food Science. 1-6.