

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_105640
Title of the Manuscript:	Usage of Life- Saving Equipment by Commercial Boat Users and Operators in Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important in scientific community as well as marine sector, it interested in life saving and minimizing dangerous in maritime sector by using of Life-Saving Equipment</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable for the content</p> <p>Yes, but it needs to improve by adding of few sentences about methodology and statistics used.</p> <p>Yes at all</p> <p>Yes, but after several revisions and several improvements.</p> <p>No, references are not enough to cover the aim of the study and to compare the obtained data in the manuscript with other scientific results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- General idea explains methods and statistics should be included in the abstract.</p> <p>2- Author(s) should add different regulations of IMO and SOLAS which are related to the aim of this study.</p> <p>3- Author(s) should explain different types of life-saving equipment's in general and special types which are related to the aim of the study.</p> <p>4- Conclusion part is very weak, it needs to improve</p> <p>5- Reference section is not enough</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mona Ibrahim Abd El Naby Kaamoush
Department, University & Country	Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Egypt