

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_105873
Title of the Manuscript:	Virtual Design of Novel Coumarinyl-Substituted Sulfonamide Inhibitors of Carbonic Anhydrase II as Potential Drugs against Glaucoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes, this manuscript is scientifically important as it has added to the data in the scientific community. 2. Yes, the content of the manuscript agrees with the topic. 3. Yes, the abstract has all the components needed in an abstract. 4. Yes, the structures are appropriate. 5. Yes 6. There are more old references than there are new ones	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of English is very suitable for Scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	1. Please consider including the year of the intext reference highlighted yellow.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salama K. Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Bayero University, Nigeria