

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_106208
Title of the Manuscript:	Current Status of RV Reducer Development in China and Abroad
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This is an opinion article. However, the article lacks details justification and evidence of the current problems faced in China market. Suggesting to perform more detail literature analysis to identify the current research gap and problem encounter before providing opinions on the current issues.</p> <p>2. Title is appropriate.</p> <p>3. Abstract is lack of required information such as problem statement, assessment method and expected outcomes (Opinion).</p> <p>4. The arrangement of this opinion article is appropriated.</p> <p>5. This is not a really called scientific paper. This is only an opinion article.</p> <p>6. Suggest to provide at least 20 articles (Within 5 years).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is generally fine.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is simply too brief. It is up to editor to make decision to accept the article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chew Kuew Wai
Department, University & Country	UniversitiTunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia