

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |                                                                                |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</b>                               |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JCTI_105375</b>                                                          |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Mimicking Sinonasal Undifferentiated Carcinoma</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Case study</b>                                                              |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                           | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br><br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Add recent and relevant references to modify your references section. |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br><br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Please check the linguistic mistakes.                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <b>suggestions to be incorporated prior to final submission</b>                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### PART 2:

|                                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                           | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |                                                                                                                                                                               |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                      |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>A. Anand Kumar</b>                                |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, India</b> |