

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_105938
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON SYNTHESIS, LANTHANIDE COMPLEXES AND BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITIES OF HYDRAZONE DERIVATIVES OF HYDRAZINECARBOTHIOAMIDES.
Type of the Article	REVIEW ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Comment: 1 Keywords should be in alphabetical order. Comment:2 Write as Fig. 2 and so on. Comment: 3 full stop after reference no. Eg. [16]. Comment: 4 H-NMR should be ¹H-NMR same way ¹³C-NMR and F-T-IR should be FTIR, in continuation. Comment: 5 write UV not as Uv, into whole manuscript. Comment: 6 Abbreviation of NLO should be covered in the manuscript. Comment: 7 Formatting of manuscript and spell check. Comment: 8 Pl. check References and it should be as per journal's guideline.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shailesh Mistry
Department, University & Country	Parul Institute of Applied Science, Parul University, India