

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106369
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of morphological and physico-chemical properties of dominant soil series in Western and North-Western Zones of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The manuscript gives a detailed account of the type of soil and its properties in the western and north- western zones of Tamil Nadu and such a description is very important especially for Agricultural Policy Planners and Rsearchers to make long term plans</p> <p>Yes it is suitable</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Need to make further sub sections on different soil properties and then describe each property with clarity. It is scientifically correct</p> <p>References need to be checked properly. Although they are sufficient but certain references seem to be missing in bibliography</p> <p>The article needs to be modified as per above suggestions</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The English language is not grammatically perfect. It needs to be written properly</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uday Sharma
Department, University & Country	Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India