

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104865
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro evaluation of groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) rhizospheric Bradyrhizobium traits for dinitrogen fixation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	By reviewing research on in vitro evaluation of the traits of groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) roots for dinitrogen fixation on this globally important oil crop and its role in filling the oil deficiency gap, he found some observations that need to be taken into account: The title is good, but it is preferable to amend the title to suit the content The summary is good The search is good in terms of introduction. The materials and methods are good, and the results and discussions are good. Review the numbers in the text and tables and make sure they are accurate The number of sources used is good, and it is preferable to support the research with modern sources	The correction has been done
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salam Thanoon Khaleel Alabar
Department, University & Country	Iraq