

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106375
Title of the Manuscript:	Laboratory Studies on Chromatographic Profile and Toxicity of Custard Apple (Annona squamosa L.) Seed Extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	NEEDS MAJOR revision It is useful. No, It can Be : Insect repellent effect and chemical composition of Needs major revision Seems Ok No Ok some more ref can be added which focus on the methodology I strongly discourage the methodology as there is no proper reference/method used. Plz find the suitable method as Plant crude extract cant be analysed by GCMS machine. If there is any new method I would like to see that.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok , Plagiarism must be checked and some grammatical mistakes must be ruled out.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atul Kaushik
Department, University & Country	Orotta College of Medicine & Health Sciences, Eritrea