

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_105634
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of Refrigerated Shelf Life of Foods Against Microbial Spoilage Using Indian Spices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnf.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it's important for the scientific community, as well as It's beneficial to health issues. Food spoilage is a common phenomenon globally, and completely affect the health and economically.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is appropriately suitable</p> <p>Yes the abstract of the article is completely comprehensive</p> <p>Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriately, quite changes suggested in minor comments</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, references are sufficient and try to add some recent ones</p> <p>Author should format the as per journal instruction</p> <p>Author should add digital images (Initial and final Photographs) which are used for the current work. It is worthy to enhanced the quality of manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language quality of the article is suitable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The current research article is needed a minor revision for acceptance. For the publication, the author should have to follow the instructions given below, Rewrite the complete conclusion section More discussion add in the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashish Wamanrao Selokar
Department, University & Country	Mohsinbhai Zaweri Mahvidyalaya, India