

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_105763 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of thermal, and microwave treatment on bioactive compound, antioxidant activity, and sensory quality of lime-flavored dragon fruit RTS beverage |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | 1. Important text for the scientific community, especially in the field of timber 2. The title of the article is in accordance with the contents of the paper 3. Abstract is appropriate and represents the contents of the paper 4. subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate 5. Your manuscript is scientifically correct but the research method needs to be added in detail to the method of processing research data, Add tools used and tool specifications | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | quality of articles in language/English suitable for scientific communication | |
| Optional/General comments | It is necessary to improve research methods | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Sri Hidayati |
| Department, University & Country | Lampung University, Indonesia |