

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_105411
Title of the Manuscript:	LACTOCOCCUS LACTIS endocarditis of the pulmonary valve on a complex congenital heart disease
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The case study has great relevance for the scientific community. Few studies describe infection by LACTOCOCCUS LACTIS, so it is even important to contain the effects caused by the bacteria and prevent the death of patients.</p> <p>2. Suggestion: LACTOCOCCUS LACTIS causes pulmonary valve endocarditis in a patient with complex congenital heart disease</p> <p>3. I suggest adding that the patient evolved to death and thus make the severity of the infection evident. And that treatment could be a topic to be researched later.</p> <p>4. Yes, they are well structured.</p> <p>5. The manuscript has great scientific relevance.</p> <p>6. Suggestion: http://departamentos.cardiol.br/dic/publicacoes/revistadic/revista/2019/portugues/Re vista03/abc-imagem-v3203-</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There is academic quality.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silene Jacinto da Silva
Department, University & Country	UniAraguaia, Brazil