

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_105359
Title of the Manuscript:	A Holistic Approach to Land Valuation Using Automated Valuation Models (AVMs): A Case Study in Homagama Divisional Secretarial Administrative Boundary, Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript introduces new method to analyse land valuation. It uses an automated valuation models into ARCGIS to estimate the land values in the Homagama Divisional Secretarial Administrative Boundary, Sri Lanka. The title, the abstract and the whole paper is well written. The article is scientifically sound even if some clarification is needed in the material and methods section. For instance, How did the authors got the Weight in table 2? They must give details on the calculation in the manuscript. I also suggest to the author to discuss deeply some results related to the value of the land. Indeed, the restricted area may sometime be more valuable than other part especially regarding the provisioning services from ecosystems and water in these areas. The authors are also asked to put a piece of information related to the limitation of their study. The reference maybe increases a bit especially regarding the discussion section. The explanation and some information need support from literature in the discussion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is fair for scientific communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aboubacar-Oumar Zon
Department, University & Country	University Joseph KI-ZERBO, Burkina Faso