

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_104180</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Library Crimes among Users of Library Resources in University Libraries, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Short and precise</p> <p>Need some improvement</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Need improvement</p> <p>Need improvement</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Acceptable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. No need to include citation in abstract</p> <p>2. Need to include more current citations (2021,2022, 2023)</p> <p>3. Please provide sources for measures in both surveys. If the measures are self-developed please explain the process of developing the items.</p> <p>4. Please explain the type of sampling design and how the sampling was executed.</p> <p>5. Need to strengthen the discussions section (e.g., relate the current findings with the previous studies)</p> <p>6. Need to strengthen the conclusion and recommendation. The recommendations section can be given to both – future researchers and practitioners (librarian)</p> <p>7. Make sure all the citations are listed in the references.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaiful Annuar Khalid
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia