

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_105254
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Social Media on Agricultural Youth: Empowering the Next Generation
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is quite timely and captures the challenges and opportunities in social media in agricultural transformation.</p> <p>Word 'The' deleted and indicate where the research was carried out even if in outer space of global internet. Impact of Social Media on Agricultural Youth: Empowering the Next Generation in (STATE THE COUNTRY)</p> <p>Not quite as abstract missing the objective of the study, study methodology and key findings not highlighted adequately. The subsections are quite appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript being a review is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>On results, the table should be referenced in the text and should be above the results presentation. As it is, Table 1 is hanging and its value in doubt.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The author(s) should work thoroughly on punctuations with a spell check once through.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mwaura Mburu
Department, University & Country	Kenyatta University, Kenya