

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_105254 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Impact of Social Media on Agricultural Youth: Empowering the Next Generation |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, it sheds light on the relevant topic</p> <p>Yes, it sounds well-formulated</p> <p>No, the abstract is missing the aim of the research, method description, and presentation of the research results.</p> <p>Yes, the structure is logical.</p> <p>Yes, it is based on the review of published scientific literature.</p> <p>The references are sufficient, relevant, and recent.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>English is ok.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Thanks to the author(s) for the interesting manuscript! The topic is highly relevant. The manuscript does not present the aim of the research. The manuscript does not describe the context of the research. Youth in Africa is meant? Research methodology does not offer a number of found sources, their selection procedure, etc. The manuscript does not give an analysis of the selected literature. Abbreviations VK and AR should be explained. Table caption appears above the table.</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Jelena Zascerinska |
| Department, University & Country | Centre for Education and Innovation Research, Latvia |