

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_106241
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON EFFECTIVENESS OF DAIRY FARMING RELATED FRONT LINE DEMONSTRATIONS OF KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRA SHIVAMOGGA ON KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF DAIRY FARMERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This text is important for cattle breeders so that management is not carried out from generation to generation in the same way but needs to look at technological developments in order to increase yields.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>3. In the abstract it is necessary to add the number of respondents and the criteria</p> <p>4. subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. the text is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. If you have additional reference suggestions, please indicate them in the review form. References preferably five years back</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of articles in English is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article is good because it provides an understanding of the importance of technology in business management</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Widyastuti
Department, University & Country	Darma Cendika Catholic University, Indonesia