

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_105182 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Bio-fortification and Its Impact on Global Health |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Especially breeding programmes, it is crucial to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? The article's title is appropriate</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Add one or two words outlining the study's difficulties before talking on the contributions achieved.</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The manuscript's structure and subsections are adequate; however, a more thorough assessment of the literature should be given to the introduction.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The nature of the article and the sources it uses ensure that it is scientifically accurate.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Ignore autonumbering. Arrange all the references in alphabetically in reference section.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Needs minor revision | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | This is a significant manuscript; however, the author(s) should provide their perspectives. The biofortified vegetable also available these days so, authors should mention their health importance, nutritive value and socioeconomic importance etc. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Deepak Kumar |
| Department, University & Country | Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, India |