

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_105573
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH NATIONAL GUIDELINES ON DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF MALARIA AMONG HEALTH WORKERS IN ANAMBRA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important to the scientific community because the findings of this study revealed a close similarity between the two health facilities in the level of awareness of the national malaria treatment guidelines, but a remarkable difference in compliance to appropriate case management of malaria between public and private health facilities. This study also revealed that each of the variables; availability of anti-malarial drugs, existing national guidelines, drug promotion by manufacturer, and need to make a profit made an independent contribution to predicting the type of anti-malarial drugs prescribed by health workers in the two health facilities. Although findings from the study show invariably some level of compliance to guidelines, health professionals need not be complacent; there is still considerable room for improvement in health worker compliance, especially in routine diagnostic testing and the use of appropriate drugs in the right dosages/anti-malarial prescribing practices for effective management of cases.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are sufficient and recent,</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the language/English article is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the text they are not marked with appropriate brackets according to the instructions for authors. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. All references should follow the style described in the guidelines for authors (Reference to a journal (<i>For Published paper, For Accepted, unpublished papers, For Articles not in English.</i>), Reference to a book (<i>Personal author(s), Editor(s) or compiler(s) as authors, Authored chapter in edited publication</i>), Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles. , Reference to Organization as author).</p> <p>Missing section: Acknowledgment and Conflict of interest.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gavrilovic S. Branislav
Department, University & Country	College of Railway Engineering, Academy of Technical and Artistic Professional Studies, Serbia