

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_98106</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Incubation Study of microbial inoculation in Enriched vermicompost on Nutrient status.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More references are necessary to be included by the authors.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English is extremely poor and needs to be corrected by a good fluent English speaking person.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The results in the data are to expressed as average of triplicate <math>\pm</math> standard deviation.</p> <p>Serious major revision is required.</p> <p>1) The English has to be checked from a fluent English speaking person.</p> <p>2) More references are necessary to be included in the manuscript.</p> <p>3) The data in the results section has to be expressed as average of triplicate + standard deviation.</p> <p>4) The conclusion section is not included by the authors. Please include the conclusion section.</p> <p>5) The manuscript is not as per the guidelines of the journal. The authors have to prepare the manuscript as per guidelines of the journal.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aparna Gunjal
Department, University & Country	Dr. D.Y. Patil, Arts, Commerce & Science College, India