

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJPSS_106423
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring lactic acid bacterial metabolites for antimicrobial activity against spoilage organisms of grapes and potato
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it's important to develop the food security projects</p> <p>The title with the word of "Exploring" is not easy to use it with this limited work and data, better to use other word as: Evaluate lactic acid bacteria metabolites for antimicrobial activity against some spoilage organisms of grapes and potato.</p> <p>Need more improvement as standard of Articles.</p> <p>Much reviewing is required to include all main activities have been done.</p> <p>Many of information is missed, so after correction need to review it again.</p> <p>Surly, the reference part is poor and many of earlier findings are not referenced in manuscript.</p> <p>The section of material and methods is the major of reviewing and rewriting should be done.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>After correction need to review it again.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- Please investigate the highlighted through the manuscript with comments. 2- Each table and figure need to be explained (sample name and/or microorganism & statistical details.3- No information about the samples used in this study weather exposed for pesticides to point out the side effects of that?</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muneer Saif Hassan Ahmed
Department, University & Country	National Health Laboratories, Qatar