

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPSS_105954</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF BIOCHAR INCUBATION ON SOME PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF A TROPICAL LEACHED SOIL FROM SOUTH-WEST CHAD.</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <b>Yes, it is important for the scientific community</b><br><br><b>The title is very good</b><br><br><b>The abstract is very good.</b><br><br><b>The structures and subsections of the manuscript are good</b><br><br><b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</b><br><br><b>The references are good</b><br><br><b>See the attachment</b> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | The English language is good  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | Generally the manuscript is a very good one   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                             |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Dennis Owusu Boateng</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Ghana</b>                |