

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105400
Title of the Manuscript:	Amelioration of soil acidity in Ferralsols of Central Uganda
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

ART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Because the soil condition of an agricultural area (Ferralsols) was targeted, it is appropriate.</p> <p>2- For the target area (Central Uganda), it is suitable.</p> <p>3- The abstract is not suitable. The abstract should be consistent with the structure of the manuscript text (in terms of order). Also, the abstract should follow the path correctly and attractively.</p> <p>4- It is almost good.</p> <p>5- There is not enough text in the materials and methods section. The target area needs the shape and table of climatic components.</p> <p>6- In the world, a lot of research has been done on the subject and there are references. The author can make more efforts for scientific improvement.</p> <p>- The author should note that "materials and methods" is the most important, most technical and most fruitful part of a manuscript.</p> <p>- In the soil sampling section, the author should provide more description (up to 5 lines) and without ambiguity. This part is important.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is almost good. But it needs editing. Note: It is better to number the sections and subsections of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyyed Akbar Sadaty
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran